STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 2016

CALIFORNIA STATE CAPITOL ROOM 437 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ CSR NO. 1564 REPORTED BY:

1	ATTENDEES
2	BOARD MEMBERS:
3	SCOTT KERNAN, CHAIR
4	DARSHAN SINGH
5	WILLIAM DAVIDSON
6	DAWN DAVISON
7	MACK JENKINS
8	CURTIS KELLY
9	FELIPE MARTIN
10	JEFF MCGUIRE
11	MICHELE STEEB
12	RAY TRUJILLO
13	STAFF:
14	CHARLES L. PATTILLO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
15	SCOTT WALKER
16	RANDY FISHER
17	RAY MEEK
18	RUSTY BECHTOLD
19	THY VUONG
20	COUNSEL:
21	JEFF SLY
22	GUEST SPEAKER:
23	RICHARD KIRKLAND
24	00
25	

1	Sacramento, California
2	THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 2016, 9:00 A.M.
3	00
4	CHAIR KERNAN: Good morning, everybody,
5	Board Members. I will call this meeting to order.
6	Board Secretary, please call the roll. Get in your
7	chair first and call the roll.
8	MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan.
9	CHAIR KERNAN: Here.
10	MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
11	Member Alegria.
12	Member Davidson.
13	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Here.
14	MS. VUONG: Member Davison.
15	MEMBER DAVISON: Here.
16	MS. VUONG: Member Jenkins.
17	MEMBER JENKINS: Here.
18	MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
19	MEMBER KELLY: Here.
20	MS. VUONG: Member Martin.
21	MEMBER MARTIN: Here.
22	MS. VUONG: Member McGuire.
23	MEMBER MCGUIRE: Here.
24	MS. VUONG: Member Steeb.
25	MEMBER STEEB: Here.

1 MS. VUONG: Member Trujillo.

2 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Here.

2.1

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$ VUONG: Let the record show we have a quorum of nine members.

CHAIR KERNAN: Thank you.

I would also like to note that this meeting is being held at the publicly noticed location. I would just like to make a very few brief comments and update the Board on the recent signing of the budget by the Governor.

CDCR -- the Governor's continued to expand his investment in rehabilitative programs in the Department. And I would just like to highlight for the Board Members some of the key points to the budget.

We received resources to expand cognitive behavior therapy at all of our state prisons. That is a very monumental task for us. We've been trying to do that for a long time. We will also have substance abuse disorder treatment at every institution, in all 35 prisons. We received resources to continue the Innovative Grant programs that have been focused on restorative justice and, in the last couple years, have provided great services to the facilities and to our inmates.

Additional resources were applied to

Arts-in-Correction so that we will have

Arts-in-Corrections in all of our institutions.

We also received resources to expand our e-Reader community college program using tablets.

We also received resources to enhance and expand our television integration maintenance and operations support. We received \$2.3 million for 12 additional Career Technical Education programs in the institutions.

We received \$3.1 million for parole service center beds, more community programs.

We received additional resources for the In-Prison Long-Term Offender Program as more long-term offenders are now being released from our system.

We received some resources for our Offender Mentor Certification Program, drug counseling for our offender mentors.

We received resources to have reentry programing at all 35 prisons. So we will have resources to help transition offenders from prison to parole. Interestingly, extended family visits, to change the process for which inmates can have family visits. We will no longer disallow an inmate

from a family visit just based on his lifer or life-without-parole status.

2.1

So a number of very interesting investments in our infrastructure. All, I think, geared to provide additional programs and help us reduce recidivism. And, of course, PIA plays a very big role in all of that.

MEMBER JENKINS: Mr. Secretary, I agree with you. Those are outstanding investments. The substance abuse treatment, in particular. Does that represent an increased capacity?

CHAIR KERNAN: Yes. We have 11 prisons currently that don't have a substance abuse program at the prison. So these resources will allow us to have a substance abuse treatment program at all 35 prisons.

MEMBER JENKINS: That's outstanding.

CHAIR KERNAN: So, really happy. The unfortunate part is that we now have to implement all of that. So it's a lot of work. Was very happy about the investment and, again, think it's the Governor's commitment to try to reduce recidivism and get inmates participating in rehabilitative programs.

That's my comments. I will now turn it over

1 to you. 2 MR. PATTILLO: Board Member comments. 3 CHAIR KERNAN: Any comments from the Board 4 Members? 5 Seeing none. MR. PATTILLO: I want to mention on the 6 7 substance abuse treatment, that also includes 8 expanding into seven locations for PIA. It won't be 9 a cost to PIA. We've developed the spaces for it. 10 It's an integrated program, and we piloted it at 11 Several of you have seen that. That was 12 our new program. Now we'll have seven more 13 locations where it's PIA half-time and substance abuse treatment half-time. So that's worked out 14 15 well. 16 Really excited about it. CHAIR KERNAN: 17 quess I didn't follow the script, so I'll 18 acknowledge publicly that I screwed up already. 19 Before we begin, I would like to note to any members of the public who are present, there will be 20 2.1 an opportunity for public comment after each item 22 ispresented to the Board. Any member of the public 23 who would like to comment, please fill out a speaker 24 request form and hand it to the Board Secretary. 25 Okay, General Manager.

MR. PATTILLO: Good morning, Mr. Kernan,
Secretary, Members. My name is Chuck Pattillo. For
the record, General Manager of the Prison Industry
Authority and Executive Officer of the Prison
Industry Board. The meeting today is being
recorded, and we also have our court
transcriptionist here, Esther.

2.1

Two significant items happening every June. One is our Annual Plan that we adopt and then the second one is our recognition of employees, both from CALPIA and the CDCR side, who have basically demonstrated their commitment to our mission and values and have gone over the top. These are all peer recognized folks.

The budget we will present today has the following highlights. We just talked about substance abuse treatment at seven institutions which isn't costing us any more additionally, other than some paint that we put on the walls to bring the program in.

It includes funding for an updated PIA recidivism study. Our last data was from 2012 on the CTE program only. This is being done with San Diego State University --

MR. JENKINS: UC Irvine.

MR. PATTILLO: -- excuse me, UC Irvine.

Mr. Jenkins knows this.

2.1

2.4

It will look at CALPIA recidivism. It will look specifically at our CTE programs or joint venture. It will also report on the number of folks who have recidivated to the county level versus coming all the way back to CDCR. So a good number to look at in consideration of realignment. We started collecting the data about in 2011, 2012; and we're working with DOJ on this so we can do the local piece.

We increase from 15 up to 19 CTE programs statewide. The added programs are Carpentry and Labor at CCWF, Labor at CCWF; Computer-Assisted Design at San Quentin State Prison; and a culinary program at the Folsom Women's Facility. The Department of Corrections has asked us to set the last one up, and we'll be fully reimbursed. And adding one more Labor Apprenticeship program down at California Institution for Men, possibly two down there in Southern California. We now have five contracts for apprenticeship programs to bring us up to the total of 19.

On the Joint Venture side, I think a lot of you saw we launched the one at San Quentin, which is

a very interesting one. It took us two years to get there. We had to train all the offenders first and now they are getting employed by a private company. We look to do the same thing with Computer-Assisted Design at that, to do the same operation. It's a great model. It doesn't cost any additional dollars once you've got it off the ground because they're paying, the private company is paying offenders to do the work. Paying them market wages, comparable wages. And 20 percent of that goes back to the institution. It's a good return on the investment.

2.1

It also fully funds the second phase of expansion of our Healthcare Facilities Maintenance Program. As we go through the action items today, we specifically set out the HFM Program expansion because we want the Board to be -- we want to be transparent. We want to tell the Board exactly what this program represents.

As far as your entire budget, the HFM Program is now 41-, up to \$48,000,000 in the next year. It's only 17 percent of our revenue, overall revenue, but it's 563 positions or 46 percent of our total civil service position; 1,523, 21 percent of our offender positions. And I will add that it's about 90 percent of our employee discipline

workload. It seems to me that there is -- a couple of you have been in the business for awhile and understand that some of the lower paying jobs kind of create the higher discipline issues that are associated specifically with prison operations. With that, I think that is what I've got for opening. If I can answer any questions up front. I would tell the Board that CHAIR KERNAN: I had the opportunity to go to San Quentin and see that operation. It is really impressive. If you ever get the opportunity to go to San Quentin and 12 see that and see what those inmates are doing, I think it would inspire all of the Board Members. 13 It's really an incredible, interesting project. MR. PATTILLO: For the record, we have a 16 quorum and also Mr. Singh is present, Vice Chair 17 Singh. With that, we're going to start with Action Item A, and I'm going to have Scott Walker come up and present on the first part of this. CHAIR KERNAN: I am told I can control 22 everything. I can turn you off. 23 MR. WALKER: You might want to do that, 24 right?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

25

My

Good morning, Mr. Chair and Board Members.

name is Scott Walker. I am the Assistant General Manager of Operations. I will be presenting to you this morning the update and the expansion of the Healthcare Facilities program.

As you may recall, back in 2011 at a PIB meeting the Board approved the establishment of a Healthcare Facilities Maintenance Program. It was started at CMF and was a very successful program. It kind of led us to where we are. The reason behind the program itself is the Plata lawsuit.

One of the critical elements of that lawsuit was the healthcare environment in which the services were being delivered and the sanitation of those environments. And while I will tell you that some of the institutions did a pretty good job, there was no standard. So everybody kind of did it differently. Really based on a personal approach versus systematic approach.

So the Healthcare Receiver came in and asked us to go look at it and see if we could take the program that we established at CMF and duplicate it at the rest of the institutions throughout the state. So we looked at that. And after some discussion and agreement, we agreed to establish a program at the rest of the CDCR institutions,

including, at that time, CHCF in Stockton. We later rethought that, and we currently have an operation at every institution with the exception of the Stockton facility, which is contracted out with somebody else. Just because of the fact there was not enough offenders at CHCF to allow us to run the PIA model. And the way that we could have run that was basically hire civil service staff to do it because most of the offenders just didn't fit our model. So we kind of walked away from that notion.

2.1

So, specifically today, I am here to talk to you about what they call the Healthcare Facilities Improvement Program and Statewide Medical Distribution Program. Those two elements are about an additional 800,000 square foot of space out in the institutions that are within the scope of the hearing contract.

The current contract was written with the notion that, at the time it was written, that we didn't have a real good understanding of what this was going to grow to be. We certainly knew what space was existing at that time, which was about 1.8 million square feet. But there were about 229 construction projects that were being started or in the midst at the time we did the contract. So it

was really a fluid situation.

2.1

That is now starting to come into focus, and we've been asked to go out and start providing services to those areas. It could be by far the biggest one, the Healthcare Facility Improvement program, that is going to add an additional 750,000 square feet of space, which would be included in the contract. The Statewide Medical Distribution is about another 30,000 square feet of space that we are going to include in the contract. Bringing the total to \$2.6 million.

One of the things that I'm going to mention as part of this contract is, you are all familiar -Chuck talked for years about GASB 45 and the GASB 45 rule. When we did the initial contract with CCHCS, the California Medical Healthcare Receiver, that \$2.8 million program was not included in that contract. As we go through this expansion and go through the contract escalation memos to add this additional space, we will be including OPEB. The new contract that we are going to hopefully execute in the spring of this year will also include OPEB.

Currently, for 563 positions, that's about \$4.4 million per year. The new contract will add about \$20,000,000 of revenue. The current contract

is about \$65,000,000 for a two-and-a-half-year period. This will add another \$20,000,000. So the contract annually would be somewhere in the neighborhood of \$48.5 million.

2.1

One of the things that's also going to happen is that the staff to inmate ratio is going to go down slightly. Right now it's about one to 4.5 offenders. It's going to go down to one to four. The reason for that, the reason why we point that out, is a lot of the space that we're doing, particularly the statewide medical distribution is all pharmaceutical area. And within the pharmaceutical area within the prison, inmates are not allowed to clean those areas. It's staff only cleaning these. So we have a slight reduction in inmate to staff ratio. With that, we are still going to add about 450 additional inmate assignments to the program.

The next big issue is the staffing itself. As was mentioned several times, one of the biggest challenges we recognized when we came into this was the ability to recruit and retain staff. That's still a significant challenge. The Board allowed us to add another 66 swing positions several months ago, to try to lower that vacancy rate. That

actually -- overall we got more bodies working, but the vacancy rate increased from 25 percent to 30 percent. And so we are still struggling to do that. We are going to add another 209 positions, if the Board approves this item, to that scope, which is going to make it even more difficult.

Part of the proposal here is to add some more distributed support positions. And I believe six of those, eight of those is going to be directly related to improving retention of HFM staff. One of the things we've had very good success with is our HR Department has put together a process, much like the private sector, when they can hire people on the spot, which is kind of new to the state service. Right?

Most of the time you've got to go through a qualification appraisal. You've got to get on a list. You have to wait for an opening. And that process currently takes us about four months from start to finish. If we can do this on the spot, if we send out qualified HR people, they can literally fill out an application, have the application, checked, vetted, approved and get hired on the spot by our end. That's proven very successful.

So what we are trying to do is take that model

and increase it to bring those people in. We've got to hire, we estimate, between 41 and 45 positions a month to keep this program running. So the support positions that are in here are primarily driving that and some accounting stuff that is very critical to the success of this program.

2.1

The other thing we found that was a challenge, right now the custodians require six-months' experience to be qualified. Six-months' custodian experience. While that doesn't sound like much, it doesn't sound like a bad thing, the road block that it's presented is a lot of folks who would like to come to work for the state don't have six-months' facility experience.

What we found is since we're training these people from the ground up with a very rigorous curriculum and standards, sometimes it does more harm than good. If they got that six months, it doesn't really help. It doesn't enhance their ability to do the job. We are training them from the ground up in the way that we need to get things done and the standards we need to get this done.

So we're working with CalHR to see if we can't revise that class or move that six-month qualification, which will, again, broaden that pool

1 and hopefully get us the bodies we need to be successful in the program. 3 MEMBER MCGUIRE. And benefit DGS. 4 MR. WALKER: And benefit DGS. They're 5 having the same struggle as we are. 6 MEMBER JENKINS: Right. 7 MR. WALKER: Jim Butler mentioned when he 8 was here last time a 20 percent vacancy rate at DGS in custodians. 9 10 MEMBER MCGUIRE: Yes. It's the same 11 problem. 12 MR. WALKER: So one of the other things 13 we're working on with the Receiver's Office is 14 trying to increase the pay. I don't know if we are 15 going to be able to make a significant dent in that, 16 but we're pushing CalHR in the current negotiations 17 to try to help us raise that somewhat, to give them 18 a little bit better wage. Right now we are about \$2 19 below what the private sector is. That creates some 20 challenges as well. 2.1 MEMBER STEEB: What is the current wage? 22 MR. WALKER: We are paying about \$14 an 23 hour, and the private sector is around \$16. 24 will increase, again, the staff level, driving

another 209 custodian-type positions and another 19

25

support positions, which will bring the total to 563 employees.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Excuse me, is that including staff? That number is including staff?

2.1

MR. WALKER: Yes, that is all staff; 563 directly related to HFM. Obviously, we have other support staff - myself, Mr. Pattillo, others that support that 563 - that are dedicated exclusively to the HFM.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thank you.

MR. PATTILLO: And a majority of those are SEIU members. I would say 90 percent of those are SEIU members.

MR. WALKER: 489 of the 563.

We anticipate a new contract being initiated in the spring of this year. The reason for that is with these contract escalations and the space increase, it's going to drive the current contract out of money probably in -- depends in how fast we roll out. But in the spring of next year we are looking to get a new contract.

In the meantime, we are meeting with the Receiver's Office just to kind of true up costs as we go along. Just to make sure we have an understanding of where we're going and what those

costs are going to be.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as offender employment, as of May of 2016, we issued 1,625 TPC certifications to the offenders out there in the program. This program requires a very strict training protocol for the They can't even start the job until offenders. they've had 20 hours of Cal/OSHA training. And so it's kind of different from our other programs. kind of start a little quicker. And the hope is that we get these guys trained. And the hope is that now, when they get out, if there still is a six-month requirement, all these folks that have been on the program for six months are eligible to apply and go to work for DGS in the Custodian series, which may help that. I'm hoping we get rid of the six-month requirement. If we don't, they should all be eligible to go to work for DGS when they get out.

We still require that they all get a GED within two years. We are still pushing that. We'll report on that later. The impact on the private sector would be minimal. To the extent that we need additional supplies and equipment, we'd be buying that. Not much other than that.

With that, I would recommend approval of this

Board action item. And I'd be glad to answer any questions.

2.1

2.4

CHAIR KERNAN: Any questions from the Board?

MEMBER KELLY: I have one. You know, you keep talking this is a contract. It kind of worries me that we are having a problem recruiting people, and we signed a contract. What are we doing to make sure that CDCR understands that we're out there trying to do this? If you can't get the bodies for one of the prisons, what are we going to do?

MR. WALKER: So what we do is twofold. One is what we do now is we redirect people. We have some, what we call, traveling teams, for lack of a better -- they're strike forces that we send out there that help do what we term the heavy work - lift some of the -- dealing with some of the residual decay at the prisons that have taken 20 years to get there. As needed at times, we redirect those traveling teams to fill in those vacancies. Obviously, over time it's another option.

One of the things we also do is redirect our supervisory staff, which we hate to do, but sometimes that is necessary.

So part of what you guys approved in the last Board meeting was 66 limited-term positions. So we

are trying to fill them, as well. It's a struggle. It's a struggle to get that done. We just do what we have to do because there's no not cleaning those areas. Right? So every day the management staff of the institutions and the people out there doing the work are very creative and hard working to figure out a way to get that done.

2.1

As it relates to the Statewide Medical Distribution, what we're going to do is set a benchmark. We have a schedule right now that we're looking at to roll those programs out when the first inmate arrives. It started a couple months ago, and it goes through May of 2018. The benchmark is going to be 75 percent of the staff positions have to be filed to activate. So if we get to a point where we are going to activate and we haven't filed 75 percent of those positions, we're going to push that activation another month.

It's just too much, and we get spread too thin or we're having too much space to not have that benchmark. So we're hoping that will help. The churn is going to happen, right? We talked about low wages. I've been doing this for a while, and I will tell you that when we went through this even in the eighties, there was a heck of a churn. All the

new prisons were coming online. Those wages back then were actually pretty decent for prison industry. And we still had a bunch to churn because some of these folks, much as we'd like to think we screened them well and talked to them, just don't belong in this environment.

So I'm hoping, as it did back then, over time people will start to understand this is a career. There is an opportunity. We do a lot of T&D. Chuck used the term "farm team." So we kind of want to treat these folks as they come in, show them that there are bigger opportunities in PIA. We have difficulties hiring in traditional industry programs as well. So we kind of encourage them to find them. We have a dozen so far that we put in industrial positions so they see we are serious about it.

Hopefully, that will help. Doing everything we can to push the benchmarks in place so we don't get down the road and we have all this space and we've got a 50 percent vacancy rate. Just no way we are going to get there.

MEMBER KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIR KERNAN: What is the risk, Mr.

Walker, with the OPEB and the Department of Finance

25 | funding that?

2.1

MR. PATTILLO: Let me answer. The OPEB.

As we all know, our OPEB bill is -- and OPEB is the non pensioned portion of retiree benefits - the medical, dental and vision. Currently, the entire PIA operation is \$10,000,000. For HFM alone, it's about \$4.2 million to \$4.3 million. It may claim up a little bit more.

2.1

If that is not funded in the contract, we will have a \$4,000,000 net loss in this contract. The thing is down to a break-even point. That is how we run it. So it would be about a \$4,000,000 hit.

You and I, Mr. Secretary, had enough conversations about it that you understand how it ends. So we've got an advocate on our side on this. I think educating Finance a little bit more on what the requirement is. We are the only state agency that has actually funded our OPEB obligations out of all state agencies. As well as funded our pension requirements. And we have to because, if we don't do that, we will not be solvent. And that is one of the basic tenants of the Board, to make sure that PIA is solvent. If we don't fund that \$4,000,000, we won't be solvent.

MEMBER STEEB: What is the likelihood of CalHR continuing to work with you on increasing pay?

Because if that's likely, I think it's certainly --1 2 MR. WALKER: They're working with us. 3 We're impatient. So sometimes it takes a little 4 more time than we would like. They engage in the 5 conversations. They're interested in helping us. 6 We are trying to push them as far as we can. 7 would like to see something in place by the first of 8 the year. That may be a bit optimistic. 9 But we've done everything we can to help them. 10 They've engaged. We contracted with CPS to boost 11 their ex-CalHR employees to write, to help them 12 along that line. So they've engaged us. We're having conversations. We have to run through that 13 14 bureaucratic process over there, so we are trying to 15 push them. I haven't gotten indication yet that 16 they' re running the other way. So I think --17 MR. PATTILLO: I think what's also helping is DGS is with us on this. DGS understands that 18 19 they have to raise their wages. So we do this all 20 at one time. They are also combining the classes. 2.1 The traditional correctional facility janitorial custodian as well as the non correctional facility. 22 23 Putting it in one classification. So it will help 24 with recruiting.

MEMBER MARTIN:

I have a question and

25

comment, if you don't mind. You made a comment that 90 percent of your employee discipline issues are HFM. Then you also made mention that you're going to be doing on-the-spot hiring.

2.1

Is there any background being done?

Obviously, if it's 90 percent of your problems, what's being done to try and relieve that and are you doing anything?

MR. WALKER: The background is really just a criminal history. So every one of our employees are required to fill out a government application in which they have to divulge is there any arrest or conviction or pending arrest. And what we also do is a run a CLETS clearance or background. NCIC once they are hired. The background check that way as well. It really just talks about past history. Right.

And I would tell you, even with that, we try to also walk the talk. We are here to provide an opportunity for offenders to reduce recidivism and be productive.

I do push the envelope as much as I think is reasonable to bring in people that have had transgressions in the past. It's certainly a risk. We try to minimize that. There is no psychological

screening or any of the rest of the stuff that you give to peace officers. It's really an interview, take the background, and then do you give people an opportunity?

The big deal we try to do and part of the challenge with HFM is these people sometimes are in remote areas. There are some types of remote areas with offenders by themselves. We also pay attention to that. These guys, some of these offenders out there are really good at playing those games.

And so we talk to them a lot about if you see something say something. Very few things in prisons, as you know, happen by accident. If it doesn't seem right, it usually is not right. If you see something that looks a little odd, don't try to justify why it's not a big deal. Say something to somebody. We bring in correctional staff. Lieutenants talk to them about this. We keep beating that drum.

Quite frankly, we don't make it -- when you make a big deal out it, when somebody does some transgression, to make people kind of stop, step back and take another thought.

So we're doing what we think as much as we can. We're always looking for new ways to educate

them and make them aware. But that is certainly one of the things that we indoctrinate them with when they come in. And we continue to talk to them about being mindful. Even the staff. Most of the time the stuff that starts out is fairly small. If somebody sees something, it's not just you protecting that you, yourself. It's protecting somebody else's job. It is an ongoing challenge in that environment. Yes.

2.1

MEMBER DAVISON: I just wanted to make a comment about cleanliness in prison. And being a former Warden, cleanliness in prison is a tough thing. And not just in the healthcare area, but cleanliness in prison overall is a tough thing. You have a standard - well, I'll speak as myself as a Warden - that you want the prison to be clean, but then you have to translate that down to the staff and down to the inmate.

So overall it's tough. But then when you get into the healthcare area and you have to deal with lives, it's even more difficult. When I was the Warden at the prison that I was at, we had to go through licensing at the healthcare facility where we were trying to get licensed. And it was really the bane of my existence at the time.

So having PIA come in and have their staff deal with it on a everyday basis is like a godsend. And to be able to have them even go into the areas where inmates can't get into, knowing that you're going to have these people come in and do licensing and you don't have to worry about it, is unbelievably wonderful. I can't say -- I can't even come up with the words to say how great it is.

2.1

I happened to be able to visit a prison last week. And I went into all the licensed areas. And something that I learned from a mentor of mine in my career, was to always walk as a Warden with your head down because you're looking at all the cracks and crevices. To make sure that they are all clean when you are walking around the prison.

And I looked at these licensed areas in these healthcare facilities. I saw how nice and clean they were, such as if you would walk into a hospital or any other hospital where you were being hospitalized at and you see that the floors shine, and in your doctor's office. And everything was so nice and clean.

And I wanted to congratulate you guys because it was something that was really a problem before, and it is not anymore. And that speaks directly to

this. So just from an insider's, well, ex-insider's view, this is invaluable. So my support.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

2.1

MEMBER JENKINS: Just a comment and a question, too. I think it is great to be adding an additional 450 offender assignments, opportunities. Just giving another opportunity for a body of offenders to have a job. I think that's a positive.

And then my question is: You mentioned that all offenders are required to successfully get a GED, to participate in the program. My question: Has there been problems with the offenders who are interested in getting a GED? Getting that in terms of capacity? In terms of waiting lists? Or is there adequate capacity for everybody who wants to get into the program like that to get in?

MR. PATTILLO: Mr. Jenkins, so not only with HFM, but the entire PIA operations, you have to get your GED in two years. Right now we are at, I think, the number is 81 percent of our offenders have a GED, which is pretty incredible. The number's been climbing up.

What we've done at the institution level at a couple places, and Folsom was another one with the integrated programs, we're actually supplying GED

classrooms right in the area. Just like with the drug treatment. And we've offered to do that systemwide.

2.1

Not everybody has taken us up on it. So we allow folks to check out for a couple hours. We keep them on the payroll so they can go take their testing, whatever they can get. We provide them any kind of textbooks, anything else they need to take back to their cell so they can go back to their house at night and do that kind reading.

We really go over the top to make sure this happens. That is the number we're very focused on, increasing that number. I don't really think -- other than the college program, there is nobody that has a higher GED rate than we do.

MEMBER JENKINS: Thank you.

CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions?

MR. PATTILLO: Mr. Secretary, two things I want to say real quick. The most impressive thing about this is that we rolled this thing at once at 34 institutions. Now we actually under our construction -- HFM runs under Construction Services Facilities Maintenance. So now we also have construction ability, which we have statewide authority for construction also to do construction

at every location. So we're looking to do a lot more partnership with the institutions on picking up where they may have some deficiencies.

Today the Receiver's Office is actually with us, Mr. Secretary. Former Warden Kirkland is here, who is now the Chief Deputy Receiver, and he wanted to say a couple of words in support of the program.

CHAIR KERNAN: Okay.

MR. Kirkland: Good morning, Mr. Secretary and Board Members and former Co-Warden Davison.

The Healthcare Facilities Maintenance Program was one that we saw working at CMF, as Scott mentioned earlier. We saw that it was just what we needed. We were getting slammed by OIG, by our own corps of experts. And, again, as Warden Davison mentioned, we could be clean in spaces, and sometimes it wasn't even a whole institution. Get it done in one clinic and not the others.

With PIA, they came in with a training program - an inspection program as well as a training program. So it's completely turned around the working environment and ability to provide care in a professional environment.

Now what you've got that is another initiative

1 of the Receivership is the Healthcare Facility Improvement Program. And that is a program to go 3 through all of our institutions other than Stockton. Stockton we built from scratch just a few years ago. 4 5 But at all of the others, we are either renovating or expanding all of your clinical facilities. 6 7 And so this is an essential element to the termination of the Plata lawsuit. But more 8 9 importantly, it will allow for the Department of 10 Corrections to stay in front of potential future 11 lawsuits, based on your working environment or the 12 inability to maintain cleanliness and an actual 13 licensable environment. 14 CHAIR KERNAN: Any questions from the Board? 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Kirkland. Appreciate it. 17 At this point, would any member of the public 18 like to make a comment regarding this action item? 19 Seeing none, is there a motion to approve Action Item A? 20 2.1 MEMBER KELLY: So moved. 22 UNIDENTIFIED BOARD MEMBER: Second. 23 CHAIR KERNAN: I have a second. Will the 24 Board Secretary call the role? 25 MS. VUONG: Member Davidson.

1	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
2	MS. VUONG: Member Davison.
3	MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
4	MS. VUONG: Member Kelly.
5	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
6	MS. VUONG: Member Jenkins.
7	MEMBER JENKINS: Aye.
8	MS. VUONG: Member Martin.
9	MEMBER MARTIN: Aye.
10	MS. VUONG: Member McGuire.
11	MEMBER MCGUIRE: Aye.
12	MS. VUONG: Member Steeb.
13	MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
14	MS. VUONG: Member Trujillo.
15	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
16	MS. VUONG: Vice Chair Singh.
17	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.
18	MS. VUONG: Chair Kernan.
19	CHAIR KERNAN: Aye.
20	Thank you very much.
21	Item B.
22	MR. PATTILLO: Members, Item B is the
23	budget, the annual plan. Included in this is the
24	action item you just approved. It was already
25	rolled into there. As I mentioned, we want to be

very specific about what was included before we got down this road. Our '16-17 budget, we estimate revenues to increase 8.1 percent.

2.1

In your binder, in the clear package, you are going to pull this item out. And we're going to go to this page, here, which is Page 3 of the Financial Plan. The far right column is what we're looking at first for our proposed Annual Plan. We will be referencing that and the second column which is the approved Mid-Year which we did six months ago.

We are anticipating an increase of 8.1 percent or \$17.3 million from \$214,000,000 to \$232,000,000. The increase is primarily because of the HFM program. But also within there, there is some other increases that we're looking at. Our Bindery is about, almost \$2,000,000 in the next year. And the Bindery, that's because we do all alternating years of making the handicap placards. I don't know why they don't order them every year, but it's every other year. So when you see the Bindery swing in revenue, that's why.

Food and Beverage Packaging. The Food and Beverage Packaging at Mule Creek will come online and actually is online. July 1, we'll officially be starting. That will have a rolling of about a

million this year, as we're separating out some of the work that we do down south and bringing it north to get off of overtime shifts that we have down there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

In addition, Egg Production. The price of eggs is going to go up a little bit. This is strictly a pass-through pricing issue. Couple things. Proposition 2, as you may remember, was the ruling that chickens had to have 32 square feet and be able to dance. It went from a very combined operation to being all this space. So what we did instead, we moved into basically a sizing operation. Buying eggs off the market. Doing the cleaning, sizing, wrapping, rather than have to quadruple the size of the chicken farm out there. That was a problem at Avenal because they wanted us to have free-range chickens. You could just imagine out in Avenal. We'd have the largest coyote farm out there with free-range chickens. We thought better of that. So that is mostly just a price issue on there.

As we come down to gross profit, we are estimating a 10.9 percent increase, or \$5.6 million over the last six months. Estimate. And as we get down in the -- I'm going to go down the middle part,

talk about selling and administration expenses.

2.1

So if you go down almost to the bottom, you will see "Total Selling and Administration." I've got my finger right here, where that's at. You will see year over year our total selling administration is going from \$50.7 million to \$54.7 million, a \$4,000,000 increase. But that \$4,000,000 increase is made up of \$3,000,000 worth of increases that we have no control over.

Part of it is our state pro rata is going from \$4.8 million to \$6.3 million. A million and a half increase in pro rata from where we were last year. Pro rata is primarily the price we pay, overhead price, to other state agencies. Starting with the Legislature, the Department of Finance, the State Auditor, the Treasurer, the Controller. Those items that we're paying to everyone else is free. In addition, the increase in OPEB for other state agencies, we are picking up a portion of that also. We kind of get both coming and going. We are looking this year that may eventually decrease our OPEB investment, but it hasn't.

Our OPEB, our own OPEB, is going from \$9.2 million to \$10.9 million, and so that is a million and seven. That OPEB increase is primarily from the

1 HFM program, by adding the employees. It's an average of about \$8,000 a year per employee. And 3 we've talked with the Secretary. If the HFM program 4 was being run by CDCR, they wouldn't be required to 5 fund the OPEB because as an appropriated agency, as 6 CDCR is, they are able to footnote that expense. 7 Whereas, we actually have to fund it. Like a 8 private business, we have to either have the asset. 9 We don't necessarily have to have the cash; we have 10 to have the assets to back it because it is a 11 long-term liability. 12 Where the other -- I am going to jump a little 13 bit back up. If you look where it says "Sub-total 14 Central Office, " right above "Offender Development Program, " highlighted there, our Central Office, 15 16 which is our overhead costs, is only estimated to go 17 up \$40,000. So overall, the increase, the 18 \$4,000,000, is a non controllable item for us. There is an increase in the Offender 19 20 Development Programs and specifically in the Career 2.1 Technical Education Program. We have added almost 22 \$900,000. Of that total \$4,000,000 investment in 23 CTE programs, \$2.76 million is reimbursed by CDCR. 24 The other programs are ones that we are expanding,

and we will contract later for reimbursement.

25

San Quentin is one where the other day we just found out that we were going to be adding a CAD program down there in anticipation of starting another joint venture. That amount of money will eventually be reimbursed, as well as the culinary program that came in late will also be a reimbursed expense for us. That takes up to about 19 CTE programs which we spoke about.

2.1

We are also expanding our Joint Venture

Program just because at the point in time, after

waiting all these years for space, programs,

whatnot, we're starting to see them come. We

actually signed two already. We launched the one

last week. We also launched one or assigned one

with a high-end stove maker at San Quentin, who is

going to be doing some technical work to replace the

one that went out.

In addition, we think we'll have another CAD program coming on. And we have some that are going on around the state. Most of the Free Venture folks spend a lot of time dealing with private businesses that want to come on. We're working with Pride Industries to do a joint venture at Stockton, where they are going to come in and hire the offenders at market wages, similar to wages we would pay, 30

offenders down there to do the job. So that will be the next one. So it's taken a little bit more of an investment. Most of that is reimbursed by CDCR.

That is the portion of it.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The Offender Development Program -- just go so you have an idea of what we've got here. We've got eight male programs and 11 female programs that we're running. The money that we got from the Legislature -- well, the Legislature gives it to CDCR specifically for contracting with PIA. want us to focus on female programming. We are fine with that. We have a very significant CTE Apprenticeship Program present at Folsom Women's Facility, Central California Women's Facility, and Those programs for the females are the CIW. Culinary; the Labor program at Folsom Women's Facility, CIW and CCWF; carpentry at all three facilities; AutoCAD, two classes of AutoCAD at FWF; and Facilities Maintenance at FWF and CCWF.

What we're looking at is - looking at Mr.

Trujillo - we're looking to see if we can figure out a way to do iron working for women. I know that is a big demand, women in the iron working trade, right now. There's really not a specific program we had for it because we didn't have the bodies actually to

get in. I think that we might be able to do that this year.

2.1

On the male programs, we have the Dive program at Chino. Labor's at CIM San Quentin. The Ironworker program just moved inside of Folsom. Carpentry at CIM and FSP. Computer coding, as you saw, at San Quentin and Computer-Assisted Design at San Quentin, which will come in later in the year at San Quentin.

The only other thing on that was on the list is the distribution transportation cost, and we have a minimal increase. We're seeing about a \$5,000 increase year over year. We are getting very good at controlling our distribution costs. We know that it's cheaper for us to use state employees and control our own trucking line, as we do.

Right now we're running 63 trucks and 186 trailers from, basically, the Oregon border to Tijuana. That's quite a sizeable distribution company that we run internally. We are trying to reduce our commercial carrier costs which we have little control over, as well as the delivery, and as well as the customer service aspect of that.

Operating income. \$2.5 million is where we will be at, up from \$851,000, which was our current

year. During our briefing, I did speak with each one of you. Our current year estimate was \$851,000, based on what orders we saw come in not only this spring, but also the last three weeks. People getting in at the end of year and some other issues that came in late after January.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We estimate our net profit will be more along the lines of just under \$5,000,000 in the current year. That will increase our flow a little bit.

Legal settlements. We budget them at \$150,000 this year. We normally don't spread them out. We wanted to show what we have been spending on an average on legal settlements, \$150,000. \$150,000 in legal settlements, as Mr. Kernan knows, is not a whole lot. That's almost nuisance for a lot of folks. But most of our legal settlements have to do with employee issues that we had to pay an employee an extra month because they got terminated, and there was an SPB ruling that makes something Once in a while, we have a contractor that resolve. maybe has a couple thousand dollars that we're going to write a revolving check for. All those cases we report on a quarterly basis when we do a closed session meeting, which we will do in the fall again. So we close it out.

Offender employment as part of this. You can follow along here. I will show you. This goes into -- the next page over has gross profit on -- excuse me, Enterprise Overview and Offender Assignments.

2.1

On Page 4, on Enterprise Overview, we are anticipating the gross profit for every enterprise with the exception of the crop farm. And the reason the crop farm shows in the red is because we sell --primary what that is is our almonds and all the hay and alfalfa that we grow for the dairies. We sell it all internally. We don't make a profit on it. We break even. All the almonds now go to the boxed lunches. Where we used to sell them out to the public, which we can't sell agricultural goods to the private sector, we now sell them into the boxed lunches. So all the profit from the almond farm is taken in boxed lunches.

I hope I explained that right. When you see a red there, it's really not an issue. That's a reporting issue.

Our offender employment, we're estimating moving -- 7,085 is the total slots that we have available. Up from 6,900. We do know that we are only employing about 51- to 5,200 right now in the spots. So right now we have at least a 20 percent

1 vacancy in offender spots throughout the state.

2 However, given that the Department of Corrections is

3 moving to an all reentry facility, there won't be

4 just 13 places where you do reentry. We won't have

5 this churning of inmates that are going all over the

6 state, that we've always got these constant

7 openings. It's a very good model.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

They have a new Director of DRP. We actually spoke about this two days ago again to make sure that we are all on the same track. I think that number will come up now. We won't have such vacancies as we had. But at the time, we do have 20 percent vacancies in our inmate programs.

The civil service employee -- and big increases as we talked about earlier. Construction Services and Facilities Maintenance, which is the HFM program. We'll have 488 positions.

The civil service employment, with adding in HFM, is up to 1,226 total. With the total increase there, we've increased positions since 2010-11 by 80 percent. It is what our increase is. If you look at that increase, it is all HFM positions.

I will tell you the first increase that we did on HFM, the first round of 300, we only increased our overhead by about one position per HR. I'll put

1 this out there for the HR folks. A little smile.

They're about ready to implode right now. I'm

hoping to catch up with them because they hang me

4 out to dry.

2.1

Our out-of-state travel is in your book, also. The out-of-state travel is just a listing of total travel for the year. It is going to go from 106- to 123,000 for the entire year. Our out-of-state travel consists mostly of other correctional industry visits and obligations we have for the American Correctional Association and American Congress of Corrections. A couple of us are officers. We have to be there twice a year, as well as the National Correctional Industry Association meeting. This year you'll see -- in the executive portion of the trips, you'll see several in there for executive of the same meeting. That is the NCIA meeting.

Any Board Member who would like to attend the Tucson meeting, which is going to be a bordering state travel, a couple folks have already indicated that they would like to. This will be a good way for you to go look at how other states do it. All 49 states attend. There is one state that doesn't have a correctional industry. That's Alaska. I

1 think they out source everything they've got up there these days. So it will be a good way to go 3 see the training that we do. We will also be 4 providing training this year, as well as receiving. 5 And it's two days where we can present our finest 6 and best. We do have Board Member travel. anybody is looking to go, we welcome that. 8 MEMBER STEEB: Chuck, do you have the date? 9 MR. PATTILLO: They will send it out right 10 after the meeting. I think it's the second week of 11 April, right buffering up to Easter, which sometimes 12 is a problem for some folks. 13 That completes the Annual Plan as it's 14 presented at this time. Normally, we do the Annual 15 Plan and have been for the last couple of years. 16 Every six months, we've been doing it. I anticipate this fall we will not do a full Annual Plan unless 17 18 something happens. I do know that we will do a 19 Designation of Cash because we are going to talk 20 cash on our next item. The cash balances audited 2.1 and changed a little bit. We want to reflect 22 accurately what they are in the fall. 23 May I answer any questions on the Annual Plan?

at expenses on Page 3, you have a budget of

MEMBER MARTIN: I have a question. Looking

24

25

1 \$174,956. You're looking at about an 8 percent 2 increase in costs of goods sold. 3 MR. PATTILLO: On costs of goods sold, I want to -- the reason why I write notes here. 4 5 Mr. Martin, just about it, about 11.7 from the mid-year. So about 7.2 percent. And based off of 6 7 revenues that are going about 8 percent. 8 I won't tell you that the six-month numbers 9 that we put in there are going to change a little 10 bit from last year. We're going to close out our --11 today is the last day of our budget. Last month 12 when we closed out, we were already about 3 percent ahead on revenues from where we thought we would be. 13 14 And 3 percent is six and a half million dollars. 15 MEMBER MARTIN: On the offenders side you have 7,085,000. Where is that plugged into on the 16 17 financial plan? MR. PATTILLO: That's the number of 18 19 positions. That's a product of the budget, the 20 7,000 offender positions. 2.1 MEMBER MARTIN: Thank you. 22 CHAIR KERNAN: Is this the salaries, the 23 pay for those offenders? 24 MR. PATTILLO: It is part of goods sold. 25 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Going back to your

1 comment regarding women in the trades. Let me

2 elaborate a little bit on that. President

3 Balgenorth of the State Building Trades in

4 California implemented a program called Women in the

5 Trades, which was given to Debra Chamflin

6 [phonetic], who is in charge of special projects.

They're celebrating, I think, ten years of Women in

the Trades. And just a little bit of history for

some of the younger folks in the crowd.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

There was a woman known as Rosie the Riveter during World War II. Women took these jobs and did a fantastic job when the men left. The only mistake that they made is when the men got back they went back home. They should have stayed on.

Women in the Trades is a great program the Nation has bought into now. Women all over the United States and even Canada come to the meetings where they break bread and talk about issues that they have on the job site already, that maybe some have experienced already. And these jobs are not jobs; they are actually careers, where you can go anywhere in the world and work when you become a journey level person.

We understand women in the trades is a very good thing, and we need more.

MR. PATTILLO: I will tell you,
Mr. Trujillo, around Northern California and
actually Southern California, too, if you go out to
any major job and you go find the women that are on
the jobs, I will guarantee that there is one or two
from PIA that are working on it, whether it's a dam
or the Golden One Center down here, as well as men
out there, too. We have more men out there.

2.1

But if you talk to the folks that work for you that train for us, they will tell you they would rather work with female trades people or trainees because they have better attention to detail and they don't always say that they know what they're doing. The females work so much better for you. Such a successful program.

MEMBER KELLY: I was just wondering, what is the health of our trucks or trucking industry? I know I called you one time, and you were driving down the road a lot and you might not notice the brand new, shiny truck driving down the road not smoking. But when you find one of those, you do notice it. You pull up along side of it, and you noticed it has CALPIA on the side of it. I was wondering are we keeping up with our fleet of trucks?

1 MR. PATTILLO: We are, Mr. Kelly. As part 2 of it, when we get into capital, I think we're 3 adding 11 this year. We rotate through about every 4 five years. It's a five-year cycle, a couple hundred thousand miles per truck per year. We meet 5 all ARB requirements for our trucks, California Air 6 7 Resources Board for exhaust, as well as all the 8 maintenance. From where we started, when I had 9 trucks that were seven different colors, we had 10 seven different colors that we ran. We run an all 11 white fleet now, as you see. Newer trucks, we are 12 buying new again. We were doing a lease-back for a 13 while. New works for us. Better than lease-back. 14 We are keeping up. 15 The best part is we maintain our own 16 equipment, so a lot of times you'll see our trailers 17 going down the road. They may not look that pretty. 18 We haven't got to them, the painting part of it, but 19 underneath it's perfect. 20 MEMBER KELLY: Thank you. 2.1 CHAIR KERNAN: Mr. Jenkins. 22 MEMBER JENKINS: Mr. Pattillo, you 23 mentioned that there is a 20 percent vacancy rate on 24 the offender positions.

Yes, sir.

MR. PATTILLO:

25

1 MEMBER JENKINS: You commented also with 2 the Governor's budget there's going to be an 3 expansion in reentry service to more prisons. 4 did you also say that there would be a relationship 5 to that expansion of reentry services to the vacancy 6 rate? 7 MR. PATTILLO: Yes, sir. Right now out of 8 34 institutions that are actual penal institutions, 9 13 of those are reentry hubs, as they call them. 10 What the were doing is they were taking inmates from 11 everywhere all over the state and sending them to 12 those 13. Well, some of those were in our programs. 13 Now they are not going to be transporting people all 14 over the state. One, we'll save on transportation 15 We won't be losing those folks all the time 16 like we are, so we have this constant turnover. 17 We've got reentry at 34; we are at all 34 now. That 18 should reduce our vacancy rate. That's what the 19 correlation we believe will happen. 20 MEMBER JENKINS: Thank you. 2.1 CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions? MEMBER SINGH: Just want to know how much 22 23 the joint venture's reimbursement, somewhere around 719,000, and other career technical education 24

reimbursements.

25

1 MR. PATTILLO: The reimbursement, 2 Mr. Singh, is the reimbursement to the Department of 3 Corrections. We manage three programs for them 4 right now. I know they're looking for us to manage 5 a few more, so this is the reimbursement rate from Some of it, though, is our own stuff that 6 7 we're looking at, and we'll get greater 8 reimbursement as we have more success. 9 MEMBER SINGH: Other is \$150,000 legal 10 settlement. 11 MR. PATTILLO: Those are the settlements, 12 the minor settlements. We just wanted to lay it out 13 there to show what we do. That is an average; 14 that's actually over an average of three years minor 15 employee stuff, a minor car accident that we might 16 be writing the check for. Employee issues. 17 employee issues. 18 I'm trying to think what other --19 Jeff, what is the other description on other 20 settlements that we have that would fall under 2.1 there? 22 MR. SLY: Some victim compensation and 23 government claims board. Some is for contractors that have done work for us without -- that are 24 25 exceeding the value of the contract or where there

1 are change orders in some of construction forms that overshot the dollar amount in the contract and 3 became a dispute about what we should actually pay. 4 The way we were able to settle was kind of going 5 through this way rather than trying to back date a 6 contract or figure out a way around the contract. 7 MEMBER SINGH: This was already settled 8 then? 9 MR. PATTILLO: Yes. Already settled. 10 Anytime we have a major settlement, we won't settle 11 anything. There has only been one time in the entire time I've been at PIA where we've settled 12 13 something that had to be settled that day. It was a settlement down in Lancaster. Matt Cate was 14 15 Secretary at the time, where we had to either settle 16 that day for half a million dollars. We briefed the Board on it after that, or it was going to be a 17 18 million dollars if we waited about a month. So we 19 took the high road on that one. 20 CHAIR KERNAN: CDCR spends about a hundred 2.1 million on settlements and legal fees. 22 Any others questions from the Board? 23 Mr. Martin. 24 MEMBER MARTIN: I have one real quick one. 25 I know that you'll probably talk about the capital

1 expenditures schedule. Is that taking into account 2 the cost of the new equipment? Is that taken into 3 account into this budget already or is there --4 MR. PATTILLO: That is part of the capital 5 schedule that we're going to talk about next. 6 MEMBER MARTIN: You obviously expensed it to cost of goods sold, correct? 7 8 MR. PATTILLO: As it says, depreciation. 9 As depreciation. We depreciate it out. Capital 10 expenditure that doesn't fall under a one-year 11 expenditure plan. The only thing that shows up in 12 here is the depreciation of that equipment. 13 You only have the MEMBER MARTIN: 14 depreciation of the equipment. You actually don't 15 have the depreciation of the capital expenditures 16 you intend to incur? 17 MR. PATTILLO: Only the previous 18 expenditures are depreciated, and this is what we're 19 going to talk about next, future capital. 20 MEMBER MARTIN: But we're talking about 21 next year's budget, and we're going to be talking 22 about capital expenditures. My question: Are any 23 of those costs included in this future budget? 2.4 MR. PATTILLO: The depression is. 25 MEMBER MARTIN: For the capital

expenditures?

2.1

MR. PATTILLO: Not for the new ones because they won't start depreciation until a full year's past, after we've spent the money. We have \$9,000,000 in capital improvements that won't see their first -- well, it is taken into consideration as expenses made in the current year. There is some depreciation. So the depreciation number does include some current year, but budget year expenditures -- but it's only of the \$9,000,000, depending if we're doing on the 7, 15, or 20 acre schedule, it could be anywhere from \$10 to a couple hundred thousand dollars. There is a small portion of the current year capital expenditures that are in the budget.

MEMBER MARTIN: They are in there?

MR. PATTILLO: A small amount. But the budget is based on a -- give me one second here.

So in the budget year we have depreciation of \$7.8 million of all capital. That is previous year. Some of the current budget year expenditures that we're talking about, which will be about \$9.8 million capital expenses, some of that will be in that amount if you start depreciating from day one.

MEMBER MARTIN: My question -- I understand

the next year's budget. When you give us the actual 1 number, it will be in there. My question is: 3 Included in this budget that you're presenting to us 4 right now, are part of those depreciations included 5 in the projections that you're giving us for '16-17? MR. PATTILLO: Yes. The entire 6 7 depreciation is in the projection. 8 MEMBER MARTIN: Including the new 9 expenditures that you intend to present? 10 MR. PATTILLO: The depreciation of the new 11 expenditures. I hope I got that right. 12 CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions? 13 Seeing none, is there any public comments on this item? 14 15 Seeing none, can I have a motion to approve Action Item B? 16 17 MEMBER SINGH: I move the item, 18 Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIR KERNAN: Second. 20 MEMBER STEEB: Second. 2.1 CHAIR KERNAN: We have a second. Will the 22 Board Secretary please call the role. 23 MS. VUONG: Mr. Davidson. 24 MEMBER DAVIDSON: MS. VUONG: Ms. Davison. 25

```
1
             MEMBER DAVISON:
                               Aye.
 2
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Kelly.
 3
             MEMBER KELLY:
                             Yes.
 4
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Jenkins.
             MEMBER JENKINS:
 5
                              Aye.
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Martin.
 6
             MEMBER MARTIN:
 7
                              Aye.
                          Mr. McGuire.
 8
             MS. VUONG:
 9
             MEMBER MCGUIRE: Aye.
10
             MS. VUONG: Ms. Steeb.
11
             MEMBER STEEB:
                             Aye.
12
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Trujillo.
13
             MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
14
             MR. VUONG: Mr. Singh.
15
             MEMBER SINGH:
                             Yes.
16
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Kernan.
             CHAIR KERNAN:
17
                            Aye.
18
             MS. VUONG:
                          Ten zero.
19
             CHAIR KERNAN:
                            Mr. Pattillo, Item C.
20
             MR. PATTILLO:
                             Item C is the Designation of
21
   Cash. We're going to go to Page 6.
22
          Pull it back out, Mr. McGuire. We're doing it
23
   a little bit different this year and part of it is
24
   to answer questions like Mr. Martin has.
                                               We can
25
    really show where we are allocating cash to.
```

current year designation of cash -- we used to be able, not used to. We used to have a budget, and we didn't talk specifically about what the designation of cash and how much cash would be needed to run the organization. We're going to be talking about the two columns, the '16-17 proposed Annual Plan and the approved midyear.

What we included is all of our long-term liabilities are in here, and we're going to show them in a little bit different example. I don't want anybody to get nervous by the red numbers that you see on the bottom. This is more of a demonstration to folks that we have sufficient cash, but we also have assets to cover our liabilities. I will start with the --

We expect in the current year that at the beginning of the year we will be -- go to 2015-16, approved Mid-Year Revise. There is beginning cash of \$98,536,000. There on the top. And as we go through down the columns, you see the total operating income or loss of \$851,000 that would be added. As we spoke earlier, we anticipate our operating profits will be more in the range of about \$5,000,000. So it would be an additional \$4,000,000 in cash at the end of the year. That is not

included in here because we can't declare that until after our books are closed.

2.1

As you come down, you see the depreciation number that we were just talking about. In the current year we're estimating \$7.4 million depreciation. We show that as cash in. As we get farther down, there are our OPEB obligation of \$9.2 million; is also a pay-in because that is money we are dedicating toward our OPEB.

As we keep coming down, we have \$14.2 million, as what our current year expenditures are for capital. That was approved previously, and \$16,000,000 will be in process work that was carried over the last year. Some of that ended over on the first month of the year. Some of it is still going. A lot of that is equipment we are delayed in installing.

As you come all the way down, I want to focus on the \$27,122, which is in the red.

Everybody follow me? We're on the bottom column, the second \$27,122.

If you go up six, cash on hand before commitments is \$85,895,000. Cash we're saying this year what we had before commitments. We're saying that we have additional commitments of accrued leave

time of \$8.4 million for the current year. Our workers comp liability was \$16,000,000. Our net OPEB obligation was \$62,000,000. And our net pension liability of \$25,000,000, which we just got tagged with last year for the current year.

2.1

Now the reason we're showing this this way is because we wanted -- we don't want anyone to think that the cash that we're carrying is free money. That is kind've what happened a couple years ago. Folks thought we had sufficient cash to operate and we had too much money. They weren't taking into consideration was that we have these long-term liabilities.

What we're not showing on our long-term liability is the assets that we have that are non-cash assets, which you see is a hundred million dollars, which are capital equipment, property, buildings, whatnot, that are above. If we had to liquidate today, we'd sell that hundred million dollars worth of stuff and we'd cover ourselves. We wanted to show that cash is accounted for. So it doesn't hurt us in our operating, per se, because we do have the assets of it. I just don't want to give anybody the impression that we're flush and that cash can be transferred. Whether that be the

Legislature or Department of Finance, as previously happened.

2.1

So for '16-17, I'm going to take you back up to the top, and it's \$85,000,000; \$85,895,00 is what we're estimating on today is what we have in cash in our account that we take into consideration all other commitments.

Coming down, adding to that cash, \$2.4 million in adjustments, for total operating income.

Depreciation will add another \$7.8 million in the budget year. Cash in OPEB obligations, all the way down, and then capital expenditures is

13 approximately, in the current year, \$9.8 million.

And if we can go to capital equipment list. This is the capital schedule we're looking at the one that was sent out to you separately. On the bottom, statewide total cash for capital expenditures of \$9.8 million. That corresponds to that red item of \$9.846, far right column, ten figures down. That is our capital expenditures.

This is what we're looking at, Mr. McGuire. Sorry, to pick on you, Mr. McGuire. I see better out of my left eye.

MEMBER MCGUIRE: Can I sit somewhere else next time?

MR. PATTILLO: Major items in the schedule this year is all facilities items. We have \$800,000 in there under a line item that says surveillance. That is for adding cameras systemwide. We have been doing a camera system at almost all our food factories. Anywhere where we have sensitive activities that are going on. By doing that, also, we also allow CDCR to reduce some of their costing because these are places where they're eventually going to have camera systems themselves. We will have ours there. We also have them fed back to the institution so the institution can monitor our facilities also.

2.1

The benefit of having a camera, for all you folks that worked out there, if something goes down in the facility, something bad, and things do happen, we have a video record of it. Instead of us being down for a week while everybody figures out who's pointing fingers at who, what happened - I'm talking about inmate assaults, staff assaults, those things - we have it on video tape already. We are usually up. We know who the perpetrators are, and we are up within less than 24 hours. Since we've been doing this, we haven't lost any time over these kinds of incidents.

We are also assisting the Department right now on their camera setups up in Susanville, which was an issue where they were putting a pilot test program up there, and we're working well with them, sharing our data with them.

2.1

As you go through, you see the capital expenditures for each institution as we go through. Although we had a total of \$9.8 million total capital expenditures, we had about \$35,000,000 in requests that we still were working on over the next couple years. And I'm sure that will keep going up.

The total Central Office allocation is \$439,000 for the Central office; and that then consists of HVAC upgrades for areas with basically no AC. An addition of an MIS building where we are having to upgrade our IT facility, higher security facility, in the back of the property with a modular building, as well as the new classroom that's been previously approved up at Camp 12, which will be a high tech class room where they will be able to teach CAD and coding in a more secure environment.

That corresponds, our capital expenditures there of \$9.8 million on this designation of cash. And as we go through there, we did the same kind of flow, as where we got down to the end here, we said

cash on hand, before commitments after taking in consideration our capital expenditures, is \$97.2 million. From there we went again and subtracted out what these other cash obligations could be. We are not taking into consideration that our capital could be backing that up as an asset. Again, the reason we're doing that is we don't want to give anybody the impression that we have cash that is available for the taking, which it's not.

2.1

have a better network.

CHAIR KERNAN: Any comments from the Board?

MEMBER KELLY: Regarding the IT building.

We're having that on the grounds of Folsom Prison?

What's the thinking process of having our backup on-site other than having it off-site someplace?

MR. PATTILLO: Well, it's actually not our backup. That's our actual operations. Right now we run a separate IT system from CDCR. We are working with CDCR to see

We run our entire IT system at 34 institutions with 20 people. That's not a whole lot. What we're concerned about is the servers that we have on-site aren't of a security level that we're comfortable with. Basically, I could break into our servers

if we can integrate our two networks because they

with a brick and two bricks, and I'm into the thing. 1 That's how concerning it is. The building that 3 we're building is one of our modulars, which you've 4 been in, that are a little bit higher security. You 5 can't get into them. All of our backup, though, is done elsewhere. This year we're also moving the 6 7 cloud operation. We won't have the servers on-site. 8 MEMBER KELLY: Thank you. MEMBER MARTIN: You'll have to excuse the 9 10 bean counter. 11 MR. PATTILLO: No, no. 12 MEMBER MARTIN: Looking at this budget, 13 looking at the numbers you gave me, I asked you 14 about the depreciation, and you said the 15 depreciation is included in the operating expenses. 16 So that \$7,8000,000 is already in the operating 17 expenses, correct? 18 MR. PATTILLO: The --19 What you show is MEMBER MARTIN: 20 depreciation? 2.1 MR. PATTILLO: It is. 22 MEMBER MARTIN: You wouldn't want doubling 23 it up. 24 MR. PATTILLO: This is accounting for cash; 25 and this is the expenditure budget.

1 That 7.8- in depreciation MEMBER MARTIN: 2 is already included in the operating expense. 3 MR. PATTILLO: Right. 4 MEMBER MARTIN: So you're already expensing 5 it to the operating expense so you wouldn't put it 6 in again. MR. PATTILLO: This is not an expense. 7 8 This is designation of cash. It went into expense; 9 it's expensed here as a payin. 10 MEMBER MARTIN: I understand. Once it's 11 expensed to where? 12 MR. PATTILLO: It's shown as a pay-in to our cash. This is our cash account. So when we're 13 14 accounting for cash, I've expensed it on the budget, 15 and it's a pay-in as an expense and it shows up as a 16 positive on the cash, as cash coming back in. It wouldn't be a double count. 17 18 MEMBER MARTIN: Showing the expense, you're 19 showing the increase to your expenses for your 20 operating? 2.1 MR. PATTILLO: Yes. MEMBER MARTIN: And it would be increase to 22 23 your cash. 2.4 MR. PATTILLO: This red here -- this black 25 here, \$7,801, that is an increase to our cash from

1 the depreciation. 2 MEMBER MARTIN: Now looking at the 3 difference from 7,440 for the previous year to 4 7,801, that's 361,000, roughly, depreciation in 5 cash. Based on \$9.8 million, that's 27 years' depreciation. Is that about right? 6 7 MR. PATTILLO: We've used several different acre levels. 8 9 What is our average? What do we use, Mr. 10 Bush, on our average? 11 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Between 15 12 to 20. Then things fall off. 13 MR. PATTILLO: We are using about 15 to 20. 14 I would like to give you a full depreciation 15 schedule. That is a good question. 16 MEMBER MARTIN: Thank you. 17 MR. PATTILLO: We've rolled in on the 18 capital, as you see. We've rolled in short-term 19 equipment, buildings and improvements, which all 20 under the accelerated depreciation schedule have 2.1 three different levels. Three different levels of 22 depreciation. 23 MEMBER MARTIN: Thank you. 24 CHAIR KERNAN: Other questions from the Board? 25

1	Seeing none, is there any public comment?
2	Seeing none, may I have a motion to approve
3	Action Item C?
4	MEMBER STEEB: So moved.
5	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Second.
6	CHAIR KERNAN: We have a second. Will the
7	secretary please call the role.
8	MS. VUONG: Mr. Davidson.
9	MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
10	MS. VUONG: Ms. Davison.
11	MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
12	MS. VUONG: Mr. Kelly.
13	MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
14	MS. VUONG: Mr. Jenkins.
15	MEMBER JENKINS: Aye.
16	MS. VUONG: Mr. Martin.
17	MEMBER MARTIN: Aye.
18	MS. VUONG: Mr. McGuire.
19	MEMBER MCGUIRE: Aye.
20	MS. VUONG: Ms. Steeb.
21	MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
22	MS. VUONG: Mr. Trujillo.
23	MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye.
24	MS. VUONG: Mr. Singh.
25	MEMBER SINGH: Yes.

1 MS. VUONG: Mr. Kernan. 2 CHAIR KERNAN: Aye. 3 Motion carries. 4 MR. PATTILLO: Actually, I'm going to have 5 Jeff Sly, our General Counsel, come up. 6 Mr. McGuire, you can put that away. 7 MEMBER MCGUIRE: Thank you. 8 MR. PATTILLO: Mr. Sly is going to come up 9 and talk about substance abuse testing. Before we 10 go into it, I want to let you know that this is not 11 something new. We are just aligning ourselves with 12 current policy, and it's actually advancing 13 ourselves on what the current chemistry is out 14 there. 15 MR. SLY: Good morning, I'm Jeff Sly, 16 General Counsel for the Prison Industry Authority 17 and Counsel for the Board. So let me start with 18 maybe a minute or so of history about drug-testing 19 state employees just to kind of give you a 20 background of where things started. 2.1 Back in 1986, Governor George Deukmejian 22 issued an executive order declaring that all state 23 agencies, all state employees will have a drug free 24 workplace. That executive order essentially 25 changed. Then DPA, Department of Personal

Administration, which is the currently CalHR, with establishing regulations, coming up with identifying sensitive positions which are positions that would be subject to employee drug testing. All drug-testing in the state essentially got started with that process and through those regulations.

PIA employees have been subject to drug-testingeginning of PIA's existence back in 1982 or actually 1986 when it went into effect. Our program, currently, is being operated through the Office of Employee Wellness at the Department of Corrections. So our drug-testing our employees are through their designation of sensitive positions, which basically says every position inside of an institution is a designated sensitive position.

A while back, a few years ago, the Office of Employee Wellness came to us and said, "Hey, you guys are doing your own regulations. You have your own employee base. Why don't you develop your own sensitive positions and then start administering your own drug-testing program."

So we started working with CalHR back then to establish our sensitive positions, which are on the cusp of being done. I think just now we're waiting for a meeting with Bargaining Unit 15 of SEIU who

asked to meet with us before implementing our policy. Our policy is what is going to drive our drug-testing program. These regulations that we're going to get to in a minute are just expanding the existing CalHR drug-testing program.

2.1

Because when we started working with CalHR in developing the program that we were going to administer, we discovered that their testing regulations and their testing program is antiquated. They haven't updated it. They test for only eight substances. And with our working with the drug lab that does all their testing and is going to be our testing facility, they suggested that those substances are not the current popular substances that people are abusing.

And on the second page of our Exhibit 2D, where the question was asked: Why aren't we just doing all of these drugs? It's because it's easier to list what we are going to expand our testing to than it is to list the million drugs that we are not going to test for. Essentially, the recommendation of the testing facility is that we increase our drug testing panel, the package of drugs that we're going to test for. We determined in order to do that we needed to have regulations to do that.

So the CalHR regulations, which are found in Title 2, Section 599.961, lay the foundation for drug-testing that we're using as our authority to drug-test our employees. What we're going to do now is work on expanding upon those regs with the regs -- the regs that you have the before you today as the beginning. It's not the end. Essentially, what we are going to try to do is expand from the current testing which is through a gas chromatic -chromatography testing, which is now becoming obsolete, to liquid chromatography testing. Pardon my pronunciations here, but those words are about that long. I'm only pronouncing half of it. Anyway, that's where we are headed. That's what our regs address today. We are saying in the future we are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are saying in the future we are implementing our program or are going to be implementing the program that is existence through CalHR regulations, but we will come to you with these regulations and go through the process to expand that program, that's all we're doing. We're expanding an already existing program.

MEMBER STEEB: Jeff, if I remember correctly, CalHR is really interested in our doing this because they want to use it as a model for how

they expand.

MR. SLY: Well, in our discussions with them, when determining the need for our regulations because what we discovered was a need for them to change their regulations. They haven't changed their regulations in, I don't know how long. Maybe never. They've been administering their programs in contract language. They've got a contract, and if I set it on the desk in front of me, it would be about an inch and a half to two inches thick. That's where they do all their administering of the changes and the things they need to do.

The problem is that's not regulatory. When you're doing testing based on regulations and you're using some other method that changes and alters that testing, you are now subjecting yourself to a challenge for underground regulations, which then could challenge the results of any tests based on those.

We are trying to make sure that while we're going to do this limited testing right away, as we get our regulations in place and expand the program, expand the panels and expand the testing and the method of testing, we'll have a better testing program, and ultimately they might want to follow.

We've offered to let them use our language to update their own. We just don't have time for them to get around to do it.

2.1

I hope that's a little bit of history of what we're doing and trying to do. I'll answer any questions that you have in particular. Otherwise, I would ask that you approve these regulations and get us started on expanding our program.

MEMBER MARTIN: I think it's great that you're expanding the program. Obviously, it is also important to make sure that the program that you implement is not just pre-employment, but also post accident and random screening. So expanding what you test for is great. It's important to make sure whatever plan you put in place is not only just pre-employment, post-accident and random.

MR. SLY: Right now, the regulations provide for what is called "reasonable suspicion" drug and alcohol testing. So the way this works for us and our employees, we can only test folks who show up to work whose position is designated sensitive, which for us is ultimately going to be anybody working in our factories out in the institutions. If they're exhibiting signs of inebriation, and we've got a whole process -- in

fact what I can do, we're just about to go live with our policy, I will forward that to all of you so you can see exactly what the process looks like and exactly how it is going to operate.

2.1

Essentially, it requires two people present observing this individual, documenting on a checklist that we have, a two-page checklist, that sets forth all the signs they're exhibiting, all the observations. That information is transmitted to the General Manager and our testing coordinator and myself to make a decision - Is testing appropriate for this individual at this time?

Right now, we don't have a prescreening situation. The only time a post-accident situation would come, other than truck drivers - truck drivers do the DOT testing program. While we're ultimately going to move in that direction of taking that over from CDCR as well, that is way down the road. Right now we're trying to get our reasonable suspicion testing process in place before we go any farther than that.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Counsel, I have a couple questions. Those that belong to a collective beginning agreement, the workers in your industry, have they signed on to this or do they have their

own drug-testing for their members?

2.1

MR. SLY: Well, if I understand what you are saying, all of our employees that are going to be subject to, that are already existing, subject to testing are going to continue to be subject to it in our program, all belong to either a bargaining unit, SEIU, or Bargaining Unit 12, International Union of Operating Engineers. And the other represented people have some representation.

We've had meetings with all of them, and they understand that we are not doing anything new. None of them have opposed what we are doing. I don't think that any of them have their own separate process. Some of them are kind of concerned about what it was we were doing, because initially they thought we were doing something new. Once we explained to them that these people have been subject to testing since 1986 or '87, whenever CalHR actually got the program started back in the day, nobody is having any objections to us going forward with this.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: My next question: If somebody fails the test, is there a mechanism that they can go to a private laboratory for a retest?

MR. SLY: Our policy and the policy

established that CalHR started with does have a retesting possibility right by the employee. So the employee is taken to the testing facility. What happens, their sample is taken. It's divided into two samples. One is stored; the other one is tested.

2.1

If that test comes back positive, one, the employee is notified of that by the lab, they have the option at that point of doing one of two things. They can have that lab retest the second specimen at their expense, or they can go out and take it somewhere else and have it tested somewhere else at their expense. They have that option. It's a test and retest.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: The last question I have:

If the employee is on medical marijuana, they are

going to probably test positive. Is there a

mechanism for that?

MR. SLY: Well, currently medical marijuana is not permitted in the workplace in the State of California. If anyone tests positive for marijuana, regardless of what caused them to get to that point in the first place, that will still be deemed a positive test and subject to the ramifications of the test positive, which under our policy will be

potentially dismissal from state service.

2.1

You touched on something that I'll expand on, a thought here. When a sample is taken, when a test is conducted, before a test result is released to us, to the employer, it's reviewed by the medical reviewing officer. That medical reviewing officer communicates with the employee, subject of the test, to determine whether or not they are on any medically prescribed medications. And if they are and they tested positive for medicines that are legally prescribed by a physician, that would be deemed a negative test.

MR. TRUJILLO: Thank you, Counsel.

MR. SLY: Truck drivers are under -- the process we are talking about today, reasonable suspicion drug-testing people that are not truck drivers. DOT truck driving situation operates similar, but it is handled a little bit differently. And truck drivers are held to a little higher standard.

MEMBER JENKINS: I have just a couple clarifying questions then. One, from your earlier response, because of the requirement for reasonable suspicion, I take that to mean there is no random testing?

1 MR. SLY: That is correct.

2.1

MEMBER JENKINS: Then on Page 2, under (b), it says that "of this section shall be concurrently tested for following substances at specified cutoff levels."

Are theses new? Because I don't see THC on this list.

MR. SLY: THC is part of the -- when I mentioned before, under the CalHR regulations, they test for eight substances. So amphetamines, methamphetamines, cocaine, marijuana, opiates, PCP, barbituates, benzodiazepines, and I think that is methaqualons, which I think might be quaaludes. I'm not sure what that is. I'm not familiar with that, but THC would be under the marijuana testing under the currently existing tests.

What our subdivision (b) is looking to do is take the tests that are already being done under subdivision (a), which are CalHR testing, and expanding these new, more popular, more currently abused drugs that aren't being tested under the CalHR regs.

MEMBER JENKINS: That's helpful.

In terms of what you just mentioned, in terms of if somebody is on a prescription medication, a

lot of prescription medications that control for pain have an opiate base. So opiates is one of the eight that you just read.

2.4

If I understood correctly, there is responsibility on the part of the employees to let you know that they have that prescription medication and that they are likely to -- that that test is going to show an opiate metabolite.

Did I understand that correctly?

MR. SLY: Well, as far as advanced notification, it would seem to me logical that an employee taking those kinds of medications should probably discuss that with their supervisor if they're going to be working around some dangerous machinery we have. What we are looking at is if somebody arrives at work and they're taking so much of that medication that they're clearly inebriated at work and it's observed by others, that's when they're going to be taken out of that work place to an isolated location, observed, documented. That's going to go up the chain of command, and if testing is deemed appropriate, will be administered at that point.

Once that test is taken, they have the opportunity to disclose to the medical review

officer that they have a prescription for this 1 medication, and they are taking that pursuant to the 3 doctor's certification. Like I said, at that point 4 that test result is going to reported back to us as 5 negative. That doesn't mean that they are off the We are not just going to let somebody go back 6 7 to the factory and work under those conditions 8 There's going to be some discussion about that 9 medication and what they're taking and going to work 10 in that condition. 11 MEMBER JENKINS: That is good. 12 MEMBER KELLY: Couple questions. 13 Eventually there is going to be a test that's going 14 to come up for -- to see if you're impaired or not, 15 the THC problem. Will we be able to add that to the process pretty simply? 16 17 MR. PATTILLO: When they design --When they design --18 MEMBER KELLY: MR. PATTILLO: When the level is .08 on 19 20 alcohol. Eventually, I think that we'll all be 21 adding it all at the same time when somebody can 22 agree what it is. 23 MEMBER KELLY: What are we doing to train our staff who makes these observation and writes 24 25 them down to make sure that they are covered for

some kind of training that they have gone through to show that I do know what I am doing here, I'm just not picking on an employee out that I don't happen to like and open them up to some kind of litigation?

2.1

MR. SLY: Two part answer to that question. First, under the CalHR program there is an educational process which we are going to have every employee that is either in a designated position or supervisor, it's online training. I believe it took me, when I did it just to see what is there -- I think there are four elements to it. Most employees will take three. Supervisors will take four. And I believe it took me about four hours to do that. Not as comprehensive as I would like it to be.

When we go out -- the way this is going to work is when we go out and send out our 60-day notice, we've already done the 30-day preliminary notice. We went through our process with CalHR. We are just waiting on one final bargaining unit, that they asked to meet with us. They asked us to delay that meeting while they were doing their negotiations on the contract. We're at the point now where we think they should be at least done enough with that so they should meet with us. We're going to push for that next week.

When we go out with the 60-day notice, all those employees who are getting that 60-day notice are also going to get a notice that you have 60 days to complete this training. The're going to complete that training. Myself and Mr. Pattillo will also be talking about some secondary training that I think we're going to try to do to enhance what they're doing in the online training that they're going to take to make sure that we're comfortable with the level of knowledge that people have with regard to making these observations. But the fact that they're making these observations doesn't mean that that's where drug-testing going to be determined.

2.1

All their observations are going to come to Mr. Pattillo and the testing coordinator to make a determination based upon what they see with regards to the observation checklist, which is two-page document that has to be filled out. In other words, there is kind of a check and balancing. It's more than those two people making a determination of whether testing is appropriate.

Right now at institutions for us we have not actually tested anybody for drugs, but CDCR in the past couple of years has tested three of our employees, based on observations that they made, the

institution. If I'm not mistaken, in each one of those circumstances, they had statements from three or four or five people that identified there was a real problem there. That's how we're going to try to model that and use that process.

2.1

MEMBER KELLY: Last question. Is there, like, a return to work policy? If one of our employees is tagged and they go get treatment, is there a way for them to get back into the --

MR. SLY: Well, part of our policy has what we'll call a last chance agreement mechanism. If the General Manager determines that the circumstances surrounding an employee's testing positive for drugs warrants it, we might enter into a last chance type agreement with them, and that would be part of the process.

Yes, they would have to go to some type of drug treatment program. There would be a reentry back into the workplace. But it would be under the idea that they will be under regular, random testing and, if they test positive at anytime, they are out. At that point, it would be dismissal from state service without an opportunity to appeal that dismissal.

MR. PATTILLO: I don't see any case where

we have an automatic -- most egregious case I can understand if there were some other effects that happened, something to influence, to cause great bodily injury or harm. I can see in every single case that people are given a second chance if it's warranted.

2.1

MR. SLY: Our program is no different from -- when we met with CalHR, they were talking to us about the Parks & Recreation program, CalTrans. I think there was one other. That they have a first time. You test positive, you are fired. They have a last chance agreement. Under certain circumstances, they will allow somebody under certain circumstances their hiring authority comes up with, to determine, yes, we'll give this person a second chance. For the most part, if you test positive in their environment, you're out.

MEMBER KELLY: Again, I'd like to think that CALPIA is special. We do things differently for a good reason, and we're all about giving people second chances. I think that is the way we live and die by here. I think that it's important that we make sure that happens.

MR. SLY: That is written into our policy.

MEMBER MARTIN: I would like to add a

little more to Mr. Trujillo's comments. I am a union employer. I have union employees. We had to do something similar to what he did. We created our own drug testing policy. We presented it to the union. The union signed off on it before we implemented it.

2.1

Obviously, there are certain conditions within the bargaining agreement that you have to follow. Some of the unions do have the second chance or rehabilitation written in their agreement, so you have to allow them to go to some sort of treatment and provide for a second chance. I don't know what the agreements allow for in these other unions, but obviously that controls some of this.

But in our policy that we did write, we did have a random testing portion in there. The same thing with reasonable suspicion. We had to train all of our supervisors. Actually brought in someone to train every single one of our supervisors on observing the different conditions - the eyes, everything. They trained us all.

With the random we were having a lot of incidents with accidents and other conditions that the random had drastically reduced. In the last three years we have zero DART rate. And I don't

1 know if you know what the DART rate is. That is basically accidents of any sort within the company. 3 We have had zero. That's pretty amazing for a 4 construction company when you have employees coming 5 from all walks of life. We have some inmates, ex-felons, that work for us that we do random. 6 7 even been picked for random. I think that is a huge 8 deterrent on who works to ensure that if you do get 9 in that second chance, not only do you have a second 10 chance but they might be picked at some point. And 11 you want to make sure that, you know, if they want 12 to keep that job, they know they're going to keep 13 it. 14 Is there any possibility that in the future 15 that -- I know you're doing these agreements now and 16 you only have reasonable suspicion, are you going to 17 consider doing random at a future date? 18 MR. PATTILLO: I would like to. I would 19 like that to be handled the same way the peace 20 officers are handling it. I may have a different 2.1 opinion if I wasn't working in a penal institution. 22 Let's say I was running the Department of Consumer 23 Affairs, I may not have that issue. 24 ramifications of having anybody under any influence

of any kind in that environment where people get

25

killed is something that we have to look at on a global scale. It is a collective bargaining issue all the away across there. If they were smart, they would allow it.

2.1

The other issue part that Mr. Jenkins brought up is I think there should be notification of when you're taking opiates or anything else, it could be there. I come from a military background. If you had to take that, your security clearance got suspended while you're doing that. I know officers have to up front say they do that.

So it's a bigger global for questioning, but we also have to have the backing of CalHR. Yes, I would like to have that.

MR. SLY: Just from me kind of follow-up.
Right now reasonable suspicion drug testing is all
that is regulated. Right now we are on-your-own to
expand our program. Which means we could take this
even further if the Board wants and the General
Manager wants us to do that. We can write proposed
regulations to expand this into random testing.
Right now we're going to take a baby step. We are
trying to get our program out from under CDCR, which
they asked us to do. Get it established. Get it so
it's effective.

Right now, the program that currently exists under CalHR, according to the drug laboratories, is basically ineffective because nobody, I won't say nobody, but in their opinion, very few people are still using the drugs they test for. There are more commonly used drugs on subdivision (b) on Page 2 that you're looking at. Also, so until we get liquid chromatography testing; we can't detect those other drugs.

MEMBER MARTIN: You have to do a 40 panel test on every single employee, pre, post, random. It's a 40 panel test.

MR. SLY: We can expand this to whatever the Board wants us to do. It's just we're taking baby steps. We are seeing how much resistance from the outside we're going to get, and then we'll move forward accordingly.

MEMBER MARTIN: The cost is only \$35 per test.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: I have a comment also on random drug testing. When you do random drug testing what you're going to find is you're going to find the addicts, the people who are addicts. The bad thing about that, if you smoked marijuana two weeks ago at a party and you have random drug test

1 coming up and two weeks ago you smoked it, it's still in your system. It stays in your system for 40 days. Here is an individual that will be 3 4 randomly tested; he's going to turn up dirty because 5 he smoked marijuana two weeks ago. That's the bad That is my comment on random drug testing. 6 7 MEMBER SINGH: You have listed here 26 different substances. 8 9 MR. SLY: Yes. 10 MEMBER SINGH: And do we have some procedure, how you test them? Who do for us? 11 12 MR. PATTILLO: We actually use the same 13 contractors that CDCR uses at the local facilities. 14 Whoever they're using, we contract with them also. 15 We have certified facilities that meet not only the 16 California but the United States Department of 17 Transportation requirements. I'm not sure -- what 18 do you do locally here? 19 MR. SLY: That contract that I was telling 20 you that was that thick, is a statewide contract 2.1 master agreement through CalHR for all drug testing, 22 all up and down the State. They have facilities 23 that are local that also come to your location with 24 portable testing capabilities. So that is who would 25 be doing our testing, which is the same facilities

1 that are doing the drug testing all up and down the 2 state.

MR. PATTILLO: We ourselves are not involved in the testing process.

2.1

MEMBER MARTIN: Why wouldn't you expand to a 40 panel test? The other thing is on random, the company that administers our drug-testing they are given the names of everyone. They are the ones that administer the random. So it's actually out of our hands. It's the third party handling it. So there's no impropriety about it. It's strictly random. Similar to the DOT program.

MR. SLY: The panel that we have proposed at this moment in time is what was recommended to us by the testing facility. We didn't get -- again, trying to do this incrementally. It's not that this can't be expanded at some other time. They just told us if you are going to do this, this is what you need to do right now in order to have an effective program.

MR. PATTILLO: Will you give us a list of panel? Then he will come back and we can add to this.

MEMBER STEEB: How many people does this affect on average annually? How many people are --

1 MR. PATTILLO: In ten years, we've done 2 In 11 years we've done three. 3 MR. SLY: Let me get clarification. Do you 4 mean how many people are subject to or how many 5 people actually have been tested? 6 MEMBER STEEB: How many people have 7 actually -- you know, there are employees that have come forward --8 9 MR. SLY: In ten years we have four 10 employees tested. All three -- one was -- our truck 11 drivers are tested all the time. We had one that 12 came up positive a couple years ago, and then we 13 have had three employees tested by CDCR staff over 14 the last two years. 15 MEMBER STEEB: They were all positive? 16 Might have been over the last ten MR. SLY: 17 we have three. And I believe of the three only one 18 came back positive. That person no longer works for 19 us. 20 MEMBER JENKINS: On the reasonable 21 suspicion process, in terms of how that works, what 22 is the timeline from when the reasonable suspicion

understand at least two people would be required to

have the same concerns, and at some point it comes

is first noted by whomever notes it, and I

23

24

25

up to Mr. Pattillo before the decision is made that that employee would be subject to submitting a test. Is that accurate?

MR. SLY: Yes.

MEMBER JENKINS: What is the timeline for that? The reason that I ask is because with the substances that would be tested on the 40 panel or a smaller panel, if it's a long time frame, there is a window of time within the testing process that even in here that drug is no longer detectable.

MR. SLY: That is correct. Our policy is based on minutes and hours, not days and weeks. So I would anticipate if everything goes the way that it should, testing would be administered within less than two hours of the time somebody -- and that includes transporting them to the facility or having the testing agency come to the facility to do the test.

Now that's not to say that that is infallible. In the couple tests that I have been aware of that happened to our employees over the last couple years, it looked to me, looking at the -- not everything was date/time stamped, but looking at how all the statements that were written and all the documentation that they provided, it looked to me

1 like two hours was the maximum.

2.1

MEMBER JENKINS: Great. I just wanted to make sure it was the same day. I wasn't sure if we were talking a day, couple day process. But same day.

MR. PATTILLO: I think in just about every case it was actually a CDCR security staff that was enough occasion for somebody observing it.

MEMBER KELLY: As you can see, drug-testing is a big issue for both sides of the table. You know, if we think that we want to go down further testing to a 40 panel or random, maybe we should look at having a sub committee of experts see what we can come up with and bring it back to the Board, if that's something you want to try to do.

MR. PATTILLO: I would like to actually get this one approved so I can get down the road.

MEMBER KELLY: Absolutely.

MR. PATTILLO: I would like to see what those other 14 that are out there. I'm really curious to see what the other ones are out there. I'm just using the basic ones. And now that the opiate derivatives are really what's driving things, ecstasy and the designer drugs.

CHAIR KERNAN: Any other questions?

```
Seeing none, is there any public that would
 1
   like to comment on this?
 3
          Seeing none, can I have a motion to approve
   Action Item D?
 4
 5
             MULTIPLE UNIDENTIFIED BOARD MEMBERS:
                                                     So
 6
   moved.
 7
             MULTIPLE UNIDENTIFIED BOARD MEMBERS:
 8
   Second.
            CHAIR KERNAN: Several motions to approve
 9
10
   and a couple seconds. Madam secretary, please call
11
   the role.
12
             Ms. VUONG: Mr. Davidson.
13
             MEMBER DAVIDSON: Aye.
14
             MS. VUONG: Ms. Davison.
15
             MEMBER DAVISON: Aye.
16
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Kelly.
17
             MEMBER KELLY: Yes.
18
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Jenkins.
19
             MEMBER JENKINS: Aye.
20
             MS. VUONG: Mr. Martin.
2.1
             MEMBER MARTIN: Aye.
22
             MS. VUONG: Mr. McGuire.
23
             MEMBER MCGUIRE: Aye.
24
             MS. VUONG: Ms. Steeb.
25
             MEMBER STEEB: Aye.
```

1 MS. VUONG: Mr. Trujillo. 2 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Aye. 3 MS. VUONG: Mr. Singh. 4 MEMBER SINGH: Yes. 5 MS. VUONG: Mr. Kernan. 6 CHAIR KERNAN: Aye. 7 MS. VUONG: Ten zero. 8 MR. PATTILLO: Thank you, Members. Going on with the information item. I'll have 9 10 Mr. Bechtel come up. 11 MR. BECHTOLD: Good morning, everyone, Mr. 12 Chairman, Members of the Board. My name is Rusty 13 I am the CALPIA Administrator over the Bechtold. 14 Workforce Development Branch. 15 We are reviewing, summarizing, the Information 16 Items E through G that are located in your Prison 17 Industry binder there. There is a lot of detail 18 carried in that binder. We are not going to be 19 going over that in detail or we'd been here for 20 several hours. I will summarize and answer any 2.1 questions that you have on each section. 22 In E in your binder, we are dealing with the 23 Lost Hours report, which is a summary tool that's 24 used in the field to analyze production time lost 25 and referred to in our case as lost hours of our

offender workforce. In combination with the Industry Employment Branch and the Operations Division, we work together in regards to collecting that information. Some of the hours are attributed by CALPIA and some of them are attributed by CDCR, and they're broken down as such in that section.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So in regards to comparison from quarter to quarter, just a couple highlights. Our available hours and our actual paid hours just for the quarter are down 4 percent. I don't expect that to be anything to be concerned about, other than the fluctuation from one quarter to the next. More importantly, what I am compare this to, going from year to year. Those available hours comparison to last year at the same time are up 19 percent. actual paid hours are up 8 percent. The reason I'm bringing that to your attention is that that would have more available time for our offender to be trained and get exposure to that work environment, which is what we're trying to do at the end of the day.

Primarily related to the total lost hours, going down for this particular time period was for the industry related hours, we were down 21 percent. Better working in the field, increasing our

supervisor staff. PIA's just doing a better job in regards to getting offenders in those positions.

Any questions about lost hours?

2.1

Referring to a picture of the lost hours, Exhibit E2 is a good reference in regards to that pie graph.

Moving onto F, which is the Accredited

Certifications and Certificates of Proficiencies.

Accredited certifications, again, are nationally recognized organizations and companies that PIA uses to give those recognitions out to those offenders.

Currently we have 124 accredited certifications offered to offenders.

I want to point out in regards to the enrollment from one year to the next in regards to how we've progressed over time increasing that.

We've increased 23 percent in regards to the total enrolled in the programs. So in regards to enrolling in our certifications, we are up 23 percent, which was a good sign. That's primarily done or the reason that is going up is our offenders are constantly having this presented to them if they're available and also the introduction of our HFM program is also assisting in that increase.

MEMBER STEEB: Twenty-three percent over

1 last year? 2 MR. BECHTOLD: Yes. At the same time, yes. We were up from 4,600 to 5,700. 3 4 MEMBER DAVISON: Do all the programs 5 produce a certificate? 6 MR. BECHTOLD: Yes. All programs are 7 eligible for some type of certification. 8 More importantly, and here at the end -- I want to -- we had also an increase in regards to the 9 10 closures. We talk about where they're enrolled, but also the closures, that meaning they somehow we 11 12 captured information about the end of that 13 certification. 14 We had an increase of 933 closures, which is a 15 22 percent increase. But more importantly, we had 16 an increase in our pass rate which is very important 17 in regards to not only having an increase in 18 closures, but we also had an increase of 19 successfully completing, which is another 26 20 percent. So out of the ones that enrolled, closed, 2.1 26 percent of them also passed, which is also a good 22 So not only are we just trying to run them 23 through the program, but we are also getting them 24 the certifications with a passing score. 25 Then moving on to the Proficiency

Certifications. This program is under flux this last year. We currently offered two certifications this last year, proficiency certifications and SOC certifications. The SOC certification was the new one that we progressed to. And as of June 3rd, after a two-year transition, we are now fully implemented under the SOC code certification.

2.1

In regards to the data, it's very mis-skewed in regards to the available information. But all at this point we are showing down in regards to who was eligible and who actually got into those certification processes. I don't think that is a fair thing in order to look at, especially since we were taking programs up and taking them down and adding on all the SOC codes.

As of June 3rd, there will be a new baseline because only B level offenders will be captured in this data going forward. Any of the offenders that have reached the B level pay scale will be getting that SOC code exam, and they will have to pass that exam, a written exam, with their skills, knowledge and ability about that position before they actually can get paid the B level position. Prior to this, those offenders didn't have to do that. They just had to obtain the hours and not demonstrate those

skills, knowledge and ability.

2.1

We are adding more meat to the actual SOC code certifications.

Any questions on F?

Section G is GED/high school equivalency. You guys have already heard some of that information already in regards to where we are at and how we're doing. I just want to point out that with our new database inside PIA and the assistance of SOMS, there has been an increase in regards to the GED/high school equivalency. We've gone from reporting last year at 71 percent and this year we're at 81 percent of our offenders have a GED or high school equivalency in our factories. This is our goal in our unit, to increase that 1 percent in the next five years. So we hope we can accomplish that goal. So far, we are on the right path.

Any questions on the GED?

MEMBER JENKINS: Just a quick one. I raised an earlier question. It's really good numbers. But getting a GED is not easy sometimes for individuals, particularly if they haven't had any success in education over the course of their lives. I was curious, again even with these positive numbers, for the inmates that are enrolled

in the programs are offered any sort of mentoring assistance or any type of assistance when they become enrolled in a GED program?

2.1

MR. BECHTOLD: Yes, they do. We try to work hand-in-hand in the factory, and it's based upon what is available at the institution. A lot of the institutions have a voluntary education program where an instructor will come to our factories and help tutor them in regards to that information.

We try to enforce or promote that type of educational environment. We try to give them the best adult education environment that we possibly can. Some of that time requires them to be away for work for an hour or two to do that, to get that tutoring. We allow that.

MR. JENKINS: Thank you.

MR. BECHTOLD: One last thing I would like to add here at the end. It just has to do with the work program we kind've brought back to life, and that's our transition program. We actually have some data to report at this time, and that is what we try to do, and that is the transition of our offenders to meaningful employment after they're released. We've done this for the last four, five years, but we haven't been able to record that. But

1 now that we have a web-based portal that we can capture that, we can actually nominate offenders 3 from their work site, put them into a transition 4 program 180 days before they're released and help 5 them with all of those needed bits of information they need to be successful in employment. 6 7 So from '14-15 to '15-16 we've gone from 115 transitions to 139 transitions, and that's a 21 8 9 percent increase from the previous year. 10 MEMBER JENKINS: Where are --11 MR. BECHTOLD: I expect that to continue. 12 MEMBER JENKINS: Which tab are you still 13 on? 14 MR. BECHTOLD: There was no tab. This is something I added that I thought was for important 15 16 for you guys to know. 17 Transition offers a lot of things in regard to 18 what we are doing. So I think it is a good 19 transition, and we're making headway on that. 20 MEMBER STEEB: That means everyone of those 21 ones all that are employed, that they all received 22 employment or that is how many --23 MR. BECHTOLD: That is how many people 24 transition through that particular nomination 25 process. We gave them the information so that way

1 they could go out and help them with their Social Security card or getting their birth certificate or 3 help them find a place of employment. 4 MEMBER STEEB: Do we know how many were 5 actually employed? 6 MR. BECHTOLD: No. It's hard to get that 7 post-employment information back. We would like to. 8 MEMBER STEEB: I know. 9 MEMBER DAVISON: What were those numbers 10 again? MR. BECHTOLD: 11 115 in '14-15 and 139 in 12 this year. There is a nomination process, just like the certification process. The supervisor nominates 13 They go through the program. We help them 14 15 get the information, send it to them before they're 16 released. 17 MR. PATTILLO: The one thing we've done 18 this year is, and we've been doing it for a few 19 years, is there is a lot of money out there in the 20 communities for ex-offenders. CDCR has put a lot of 2.1 money out there. We've been of the opinion that --22 we survey all resources that are out there, such as 23 Ms. Steeb's shelter. 2.4 What we've done is we have a web-based ability 25 that lists every resource for ex-offenders

statewide, cross-divided by type of resource as well as county that it's located in. We load that up where anybody can access it. So family members.

2.1

When you go back to the county, someone is rolling out, they know exactly what resources are out there. Rather then trying to be the resource, let's work on what the resources are already out there. A big saving of money and also allows the family to become involved. Also, when folks do leave, we give them the resources that they have in their county.

It's a pretty cheap way to do it. We actually run it with a bunch of Sac State students. It's not a huge investment but has a great return.

MR. BECHTOLD: That completes my presentation. Are there any question or comments?

Thy's going to hand out some data based upon Mr. Jenkins' request. We appreciate you asking that. We'd like the rest of the Board to have that information. It is about data that we talked about before, of capturing our ethnic make-up in regards to PIA. We've modified our policy and are now collecting a breakdown of all offenders. How it is broken out done out in the field. There should be a second form in regards to the population, in regards

1 to all of our offenders that are enrolled in PIA. 2 MEMBER DAVISON: These are males and 3 females? 4 MR. BECHTOLD: Correct. 5 MR. PATTILLO: We will be incorporating 6 this in the future reporting. What we're looking at doing over the next two quarters is looking at 7 8 either way to report the data that is more succinct. I've talked to Mr. Jenkins a lot about this. 9 What. 10 is relevant to the Board. What the Board wants to 11 see. 12 We know certain people have very much interests in GED certifications, but also 13 14 demographic data. Both Mr. Singh and Mr. Jenkins 15 have brought this up before. Everything that's 16 relevant to the Board should be in one easily accessible document so we don't have to have so many 17 informational items. 18 19 MEMBER DAVISON: I would like to see people 20 broken down by women and men, as well. 2.1 MR. BECHTOLD: Sure. 22 MEMBER KELLY: Can I ask one question? 23 is the difference between Hispanic and Mexican? 2.4 MR. PATTILLO: Mexico is from Mexico and 25 Hispanic is everything else.

MEMBER KELLY: We haven't broken down 1 2 anything more obvious than Spanish, Hispanic? 3 MR. PATTILLO: We are using these 4 classification off of --5 MR. BECHTOLD: We are using the 6 classification based upon what --7 MR. PATTILLO: -- where CDCR has collected 8 similar data. So you're right, Nicaraguan should be put in Hispanic or Latin American. 9 MEMBER KELLY: I'm seeing a lot of profiles 10 11 broken down like this. It's broken down quite a 12 ways. 13 MR. BECHTOLD: We took the standardization, 14 I believe, off the U.S. census in regards to how they broke it down. What was discussed at that 15 16 meeting, that every Board Member felt it appropriate 17 at that time. 18 MEMBER KELLY: Does EDD do this? Is this 19 the same profile that EDD would use, also? 20 MR. PATTILLO: Actually, there are roles 2.1 that are more into Mexican and Hispanic. There is not so many breakouts. We are going off of how CDCR 22 23 is collecting it, plus we did the outside data for 24 the census data. If it didn't offend anybody, I would roll it all in there. My wife is not 25

1 Hispanic; she's Mexican. Those kind of things. People break it out like that. 2 3 Mr. Trujillo, you have thoughts on that? MEMBER TRUJILLO: 4 No. 5 MEMBER MARTIN: Hispanics could include 6 Mexican. 7 MR. PATTILLO: In this it doesn't. MR. MARTIN: But it could. 8 9 MR. PATTILLO: It could, unless you talked 10 to certain Mexicans like my wife. I would say no. 11 MEMBER MARTIN: I would say it may. 12 are some Mexicans that were born in the U.S. that have declared themselves Hispanic. 13 MEMBER MCGUIRE: I believe that most of 14 15 these are self-designated. 16 MR. PATTILLO: Self-reported. 17 MEMBER MCGUIRE: Whether Mexican or 18 Hispanic or Nicaraguan, there is no DNA kind of 19 verification. 20 MR. PATTILLO: I really don't want to 21 offend anybody here, but there are people who have 22 some very strong opinion, like I mentioned my wife, how she identified on census forms, how my kids 23 24 identify. 25 MEMBER SINGH: Hispanic or Salvadoran, what

```
1
   is the difference?
             MR. PATTILLO: Which ones?
 2
 3
             MEMBER SINGH: Salvador.
 4
             MR. PATTILLO: It's just self-reporting,
 5
   Mr. Singh.
                I will tell you that some of these also
 6
   on the CDCR self-reporting ones, it's also a
 7
   management tool because we need to know where some
 8
   of these folks are coming from, as far as managing
   in the prison who can be with each other.
 9
                                               There are
10
   certain areas of the prison where we you can't -- I
11
   will use the example of Salvadorans, we're not going
12
   to mix them with certain other Hispanic races
   because of the dangers of it.
13
14
             MEMBER MARTIN: You go to prison, you have
   your Porter Brothers which are the Mexicans.
15
16
   you have your Norteños which are the Hispanics.
             MR. PATTILLO: We break it down even six
17
18
   more after that now.
19
                               Thank you for the
             MEMBER JENKINS:
   additional information. I wasn't sure, Mr.
20
2.1
   Pattillo, if you were looking now for the Board to
22
   offer some additional things that might be of
23
   interest. I am happy to follow up later with some
24
   things.
25
             MR. PATTILLO: Yes. We will follow up
```

later, Mr. Jenkins. I know you and I are going to talk some more. I know you and I are going to San Diego next month together.

2.1

MEMBER JENKINS: Sounds good. Thank you.

MR. BECHTOLD: Thank you.

CHAIR KERNAN: Next up is in Ms. Kane to give us the External Affairs report.

MS. KANE: Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Members. I am Michele Kane, Chief of External Affairs for the California Prison Industry Authority.

As you may have seen recently, we had some wonderful press coverage with our Folsom Women's graduation as well as the joint venture launch at San Quentin State Prison.

To bring you up to date on just a couple of legislative matters. AB 2012. That is the Jail Industry Authority bill that the Board voted to support at our last meeting. That's in Senate Appropriations Committee right now. More counties are wanting to join in on that bill and sign on. We have San Luis Obispo as well as Lake County to date.

Another bill the Board voted to support, AB 2061, unsupervised population workforce training grant. That has made it out of all the committees,

and I am told it is on the Senate floor today.

2.1

Looking ahead, CALPIA will be out at the State Fair. Hopefully, you will all come by. We will have a booth set up. We are there from July 8th through the 24th. It is a great way we reach out to the public. Inform them of what we do, all the great things we do for the State of California.

Our annual Golf Benefit is coming up, and that is September 16th. So mark it on the calendar. We hope to see you all out there. The event raises money for local charities, and it is always a good time.

With that, I will see you at the next Board meeting coming up, I believe, in the fall. Possibly September.

MR. PATTILLO: I will tell you, the Cal Expo item is a great recruiting tool. We also do recruiting for CDCR. We get a lot of folks that want to be either custodians or they want to be correctional officers. So we try to steal as many as we can.

CHAIR KERNAN: You push them one way or the other. --

Any member questions for Ms. Kane?
Thank you very, very much.

1 So we move on to the portion of the meeting reserved for comments regarding items not on the 3 agenda. Under the Bagley-Keene Act, the Board cannot act on items raised during public comment, 4 5 but may respond briefly to statements made or 6 questions posed or may request clarification or may refer the item to staff. 8 Is there anyone who would like to make a 9 comment or address the Board? 10 MR. PATTILLO: One more Information Item. 11 CHAIR KERNAN: Why didn't you tell me 12 before.

MR. PATTILLO: You don't have to read it again.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Information Item H is presentation of the annual employee award. We do two types of awards. We do Department of Corrections award every year, which we awarded last week at the Wardens meeting, Warden Ron Rackley who is at Folsom State Prison. Very engaging Warden who has helped us kick off a lot of programs.

Correctional Officer of the Year was Carlos
Hall, Correctional Officer at California State
Prison at Los Angeles. We will go down and present
that to him in person.

Our Employee of the Year, Field, is Richard Williams, Industrial Supervisor. Not here with us today. And our Supervisor of the Year, Field, is Valerie Dupree, Custodian Supervisor III, who is just a ball of fire in the HFM program.

2.1

The two employees that are here today are

Donna Orth, who is our Promotional Specialist, who
is the Employee of the Year in Central Office.

Donna.

Folks, at the end we're going to take a picture in the back with the employees with you behind the wall.

And the second one would be the Supervisor of the Year who is Nanette Chester, who heads our Business Service Section. I know Nanette is back there.

But the last one that I want to talk about real quick is Mr. Johnson, Fred Johnson. Fred, can you stand up? If you have been to Chino, you met Fred Johnson. Fred Johnson is our very first CTE Instructor when we, two years ago, brought back the Dive Program. He's been running the Dive Program for the last ten years. He's announced his retirement after 55 years in the industry and ten years with us.

And I would tell you that that Dive Program has received international and world attention because of Fred Johnson, what he brings to the program. I don't think we'd have gotten the program up and running or run as well as it is. He is helping us replace him. He is helping us with the new interviews.

2.1

We also have Jeff Powers, who is the deputy down there, will be taking over the program. Jeff just retired as a Naval Reservist on the Submarine Recovery Program for the United States Navy.

Fred, if you want to say anything.

MR. JOHNSON: Well, first of all, I would like to say that we couldn't have the program without you. You make it possible. And we built the best program in the world. That makes me emotional because I love it. And I love you guys for providing the opportunity to take people and give them back their lives and turn them into productive men.

I have seen men come into the program completely lost and within four months turn them around and give them all pride in what they're doing. And that's because of you. I'm just your tool. Because without you and your support, it

couldn't happen. And I hope it continues to have that same support, and I will support the program internationally and continue to find jobs for the diver's and equipment donations. Anything I can do.

But our school is the only one in the world that teaches inmates to be divers. Has the highest reputation in the industry for can-do divers. We have priority hire over any other school. And that really is a big deal. So, when a guy leaves our prison and the school, he's walking out with all the support of all the divers and the industry. He doesn't have to be a diver. He can be a truck driver. He can a welder. He can be anything. But when he leaves, he knows nothing is impossible. And that is the key. That is what you have given him. And I appreciate it, and they do, too.

And thank you.

2.1

CHAIR KERNAN: Before we adjourn, is there any comment from the Board?

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Yes. I would like to make a comment, Mr. Secretary. That emotional testimony from Mr. Johnson on the diving program was very well accepted. And at this time I would like to close the meeting in honor of Leonard Greenstone, a previous member who was very instrumental in the

1 diving program. MR. PATTILLO: He hired Fred. 2 3 MEMBER KELLY: I would like to say also 4 these are really nice words to say about the Board. 5 Hopefully, no one on the Board has a big, giant ego. I am going to crush it. 6 We pretty much come and go. We see new faces 7 here all the time. New head of Corrections. 8 9 come and go, but our employees are the ones out in 10 the field who do the heavy lifting every single day 11 for year after the year, for a whole career, for a 12 whole retirement. 13 So we appreciate the kind words of us doing 14 things for you. In all reality you're doing things 15 for the Board and for the State of California. 16 all really appreciate it very, very much. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. SINGH: Mr. Chairman, I would like to 19 give a hand to Chuck for a wonderful job that he is 20 doing. 2.1 CHAIR KERNAN: There is a lot of love going 22 on right now. 23 May I have a motion to adjourn meeting? 24 MEMBER KELLY: So moved. 25 CHAIR KERNAN: The meeting is adjourned.

```
(Public Meeting concluded at 11:23 a.m.)
 1
 2
                             ---000---
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss.
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
6	
7	
8	I, ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ, certify that I was the
9	official Court Reporter for the proceedings named
10	herein, and that as such reporter, I reported in
11	verbatim shorthand writing those proceedings;
12	That I thereafter caused my shorthand writing
13	to be reduced to printed format, and the pages
14	numbered 3 through 116 herein constitute a record of
15	the proceedings.
16	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this
18	certificate at Sacramento, California, on this 3rd
19	day of November, 2016.
20	
21	
22	
23	ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ
24	CSR NO. 1564
25	